Association between tooth loss and overweight/obesity among Brazilian adults: the Pró-Saúde Study

Abstract: The aim of this study was to evaluate the association between tooth loss and overweight/obesity in an adult Brazilian population. It is a cross-sectional study comprising 3,930 adults [1,744 men and 2,186 women; median age of 40 y (ranging 20-59); 16.9% obese; 7.3% almost all or all teeth missing]. Data were collected using a self-administered questionnaire for tooth loss (4 categories), diet, access and use of health services, socioeconomic factors, health habits and behaviors, demographics and anthropometric measurements. Multiple ordinal logistic regressions were performed. In comparison with adults with BMI < 25 kg/m², the overweight (BMI ≥ 25 and < 30 kg/m²) and obese individuals (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²) showed a greater odds of tooth loss (OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.4-1.9 and OR = 2.1, 95% CI 1.8-2.5, respectively). After adjusting for potential confounders, overweight and obesity showed no statistically significant associations with tooth loss, with OR = 0.8 and OR = 0.9, respectively. The results of this study are consistent with the hypothesis that the association between overweight/obesity and tooth loss can be explained by known, common risk factors.
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Introduction

Obesity and dental caries are chronic diseases. Both conditions are important public health problems worldwide, and both cause adverse health outcomes. In 2008, almost two-thirds of deaths worldwide were due to chronic diseases, and these diseases have shown worrisome trends, since they affect a large percentage of the world population, and are appearing earlier in life. Dental caries is a major cause of tooth loss followed by periodontal disease.

Unhealthy diets are a major cause of chronic non-communicable diseases, such as obesity and dental caries. The consumption of processed foods rich in sugars and fats constitutes an important risk factor for obesity. A diet rich in refined sugars is the most important risk factor for dental caries. Some authors have suggested that eating habits might be a common risk factor for dental caries and obesity, thus explaining their coexistence. Other authors, after controlling for confounding factors, such as socioeconomic status, found no association between these problems. Yet others found that obese people have more dental caries and tooth loss. Inverse associations were also found. These indicated that overweight chil-
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children had fewer decayed, missing or filled teeth than normal-weight children,
and that older adults who had a smaller number of teeth also had a higher body
mass index (BMI). Systematic reviews investigating the relationship between tooth loss and obesity were
inconclusive and could not specify the direction or the mechanisms of the association.

Despite the large number of studies evaluating the relationship between tooth loss and obesity, the
evidence regarding the direction and nature of this relationship has proved inconclusive, and it is unclear
whether a causal relationship exists. Many epidemiological studies have shown that there is a group
of common risk factors related to many chronic diseases. In contrast, some authors have suggested a
relationship between obesity and tooth loss, insofar as individuals with obesity tend to have less saliva
flow, which can lead to dental caries, thus mediating the association between obesity and tooth loss.
Other authors have found no positive association. Furthermore, a weak association between obesity and
periodontal diseases has been reported, thereby also mediating the relationship between obesity and tooth
loss. Our hypothesis is that the association between these two problems, among adults, is mainly caused
by common risk factors. The association could be the result of confounding factors. Hence, the objective
of this study was to assess the association between overweight/obesity and tooth loss in an adult Bra-
zilian population.

**Metodology**

**Design and Study Population**

This cross-sectional study used data obtained from Phase I (1999) of the Pró-Saúde study, a longi-
itudinal investigation that included civil servants at a university in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The details of this
longitudinal study have been published elsewhere.

The source population consisted of 4,601 civil ser-
vants. The study was planned as a census of all eligible
civil servants (registered). A total of 118 employees
were deemed ineligible because they were on leave
from graduate school, were on unpaid leave, had trans-
ferred out to other institutions or were retired. Thus,
the eligible population consisted of 4,483 employees.
Another 453 employees were not interviewed, either
because they did not want to take part or for other
reasons. This study was approved by the Research
Ethics Committee of the Hospital Universitário Pedro
Ernesto, RJ, on May 10, 1999.

**Instruments and Measures**

The data were collected in the workplace, using a
self-administered questionnaire that had structured
questions, and that was developed specifically for the
longitudinal study. After completing the question-
naire, measurements were taken by trained person-
nel for weight and height, according to the technique
described by Habicht (1974). Methods to guarantee
the quality of the information were used.

The outcome variable was self-reported tooth loss, collected by the question: “Over time, many people
lose some or all of their teeth. Which of the follow-
ing best corresponds to the number of teeth that you
have lost?” The response options were: 1- “I have not
lost any teeth”; 2- “I have lost one or a few teeth”; 3-
“I have lost several teeth”; and 4- “I have lost most
or all of my teeth.”

The main exposure factors under analysis were obe-
sity and overweight, and were calculated using weight
and height measurements. Weight (kg) and height (m)
were measured with the participants wearing light
clothes and no shoes. Trained nutritionists used Kra-
tos-Cas (model LINEA, Taboão da Serra, Brazil) port-
able electronic scales, with a 150 kg capacity and 50 g
precision, and a measuring tape made of non-elastic
material, with a 150 cm capacity and 0.1 cm precision,
fixed with the aid of a plumb to a wall with no base-
board, according to standardized methods. Adults
were classified as underweight (BMI<18.5 kg/m²), nor-
mal weight (BMI≥18.5 and < 25 kg/m²), overweight
(BMI≥25 and < 30 kg/m²) or obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²),
according to the cut-offs of the WHO. The categories
of underweight and normal weight were grouped for
the analysis, because of the small number of under-
weight individuals. The respondents reported their
weight at age 20 on the questionnaire; the BMI at that
age was then calculated using the respondent’s cur-
rent height. Weight stability was obtained by compar-
ing changes in BMI categories (normal/overweight/
obesity) at the age of 20 and at the current age.
Other independent variables were grouped into blocks: Model 1-Demographic variables: sex and age; Model 2-Diet: variables on dietary intake of fruits, deep-fried foods and vegetables (“How often do you eat_? − Never/once a month, 1 to 3 times a month, 1 to 3 times a week, 4 to 6 times a week, daily); Model 3-Health services: data on the frequency of visits to the dentist (never/only when in trouble − Less than every 2 years, every 2 years, every year) and having health insurance; Model 4-Behavioral markers: alcohol consumption (“Did you have any alcoholic drink in the last 2 weeks? − Yes/no”), smoking (smoker, ex-smoker, never smoked), physical activity (“Did you practice any physical activity in your leisure time in the last 2 weeks? − Yes/no”) and weight stability as of 20 years of age; and Model 5-Socioeconomic variables: educational level and equivalised household income. Household income was calculated as a continuous variable using the midpoint of each reported income category (7 categories) as the income, and then equivalised using the square root of the number of residents. This value was divided by the value of the minimum wage at the time of the survey (R$ 136.00, equivalent to US$ 127). Educational level was classified as incomplete elementary school, complete elementary school, high school, and university/ higher. Age was entered into the regression models as a continuous variable.

**Data Analysis**

The data are presented in a bivariate table to analyze associations between the outcome and the main exposures. Multiple regression analysis was performed, fitting partial proportional ordinal logistic models according to Hosmer and Lemeshow.\textsuperscript{18} Five variables violated the proportional odds assumption (smoking, education, visits to the dentist, age, and deep-fried food consumption). These variables were tested for all covariates (α was set to 1% significance), according to the Brant test. Were such an assumption violated, there would be three sets of odds ratios, one set for each cut-off point of our outcomes. Therefore, to avoid extensive tables, we chose to present only the odds ratios of the main exposures, since one set of odds ratio could hold the (partial) proportional odds assumption.

For the purpose of evaluating the impact of similar, and perhaps collinear, variables, we built one model for each previously described block, keeping only those variables with \( p < 0.20 \) as the final model. The fit of the models was evaluated according to two main parameters: Bayesian information criteria and adjusted pseudo-R\(^2\). The best model, Final Model, was also assessed with a goodness-of-fit test, as described elsewhere in the literature.\textsuperscript{18} Basically, we ran three ordinary logistic regressions after dichotomizing the variable at all three cut-off-points. Since the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was acceptable for all of the regressions, it was also considered acceptable for the ordinal logistic regression. All of the analyses were performed using Stata software, version 11.2 (Stata Corporation, College Station, USA).

**Results**

The final sample for this analysis included 3,930 employees with an average age of 40 y (SD = 8.02), 56% women and 44% men. The weight stability variable, as of age 20, had the largest percentage (5%) of missing values, with 200 individuals who did not complete the questionnaire entirely. The final model included a sample of 3,341 subjects (14.9% losses). Of these, 7.3% reported missing all or most of their teeth, 19.3% as having lost many teeth, and 50.9% as having lost one or a few teeth (Table 1).

The average income was 9.4 minimum wages (MW) (SD = 6.20), insofar as participants with no missing teeth had an average income of 12.3MW, and individuals with all or almost all of their teeth missing had an average income of 5.95MW (\( p < 0.01 \)). Individuals with all or most of their teeth missing had an average age of 49 years, and those with no teeth missing were 34 years old, on average (\( p < 0.01 \)). Moreover, 36% of the employees had a high school degree and 41% had a university degree or higher, and, among these groups, only 6% and 1%, respectively, reported having lost all or most of their teeth. Individuals with incomplete elementary school education had a 50-fold greater chance of having all of their teeth missing, compared with participants with a university education or higher (OR = 53.0, CI95% 29.8-94.3).

At age 20, 90% of the sample had a normal BMI, 8% were overweight, and 2% were obese, whereas
these percentages at the time of the study were 47%, 36% and 17%, respectively. Among the participants, 51% remained in the normal category, 36% moved into the overweight category, and 13% became obese.

Several models were tested to examine the association between tooth loss and overweight/obesity (Table 2). Obesity/overweight was represented by only one set of odds ratio, because this variable did not violate any assumptions. Analyses of the unadjusted model showed a greater chance of tooth loss for overweight individuals and obese people. However, this association lost significance when adjusted for confounding variables, mainly demographic (Pseudo $R^2 = 13\%$, BICs, 8114.324). The dietary variables had the worst fit (BIC = 9249.659), constituting the worst adjusted model ($Pseudo R^2 = 2\%$). The final model included all potentially confounding variables, apart from sex and fruit consumption, which were not statistically significant within their block of variables. In the final model, the odds ratio for the category of ‘increasing tooth loss’ was not significant either for overweight or obesity (BIC = 6590.952, $R^2 = 20\%$). We also tested for interactions among sex, weight gain and tooth loss, as well as among age, weight gain and tooth loss. These interactions were significant for the unadjusted model, but lost significance in the final model, and thus were removed ($p > 0.05$).

**Discussion**

In our study, we observed an association between obesity and tooth loss of a reasonable magnitude among adults, in the unadjusted model. Obese individuals had roughly twice the chance of having high levels of tooth loss compared with participants of normal weight. However, after adjustment, this association washed out. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that they share common risk factors. Most studies investigating the relationship between obesity and tooth loss have been conducted with children or adolescents. Some have been conducted with elderly populations, but few with working-age adults. Our findings are consistent with those of other studies with children and adults and the elderly, which also found no association between obesity and dental caries after adjusting for confounding variables. However, some investigations with children and adolescents and with adults and the elderly, have found an association among these individuals, even after controlling for some confounding factors. In our study, no variable alone could explain the relationship between obesity and tooth loss. The loss of statistical significance occurred after controlling for a broad set of variables, among which age and

### Table 1. Relative and absolute frequencies of BMI variable according to tooth loss category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI categorized</th>
<th>Tooth loss</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>One or Few</th>
<th>Many</th>
<th>Almost All or All</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal/Low</td>
<td>28.0 (512)</td>
<td>51.4 (941)</td>
<td>15.1 (277)</td>
<td>5.6 (102)</td>
<td>100 (1,832)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>18.9 (268)</td>
<td>50.8 (720)</td>
<td>22.9 (324)</td>
<td>7.4 (105)</td>
<td>100 (1,417)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>15.2 (100)</td>
<td>50.0 (328)</td>
<td>23.0 (151)</td>
<td>11.7 (77)</td>
<td>100 (656)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n)</td>
<td>22.5 (880)</td>
<td>50.9 (1,989)</td>
<td>19.3 (752)</td>
<td>7.3 (284)</td>
<td>100 (3,905)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal/Low: BMI < 25kg/m²; Overweight: BMI ≥ 25kg/m² and < 30kg/m²; Obese: BMI ≥ 30kg/m²

Chi-square for heterogeneity: $p < 0.01$

### Table 2. Odds ratios of the regression models for outcomes: normal/low (BMI < 25kg/m²), overweight (BMI ≥ 25kg/m² and < 30kg/m²) and obese (BMI ≥ 30kg/m²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth loss</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (CI95%)</th>
<th>Normal/Low</th>
<th>Overweight</th>
<th>Obesity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted Model</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00-1.00)</td>
<td>1.64 (1.44-1.88)</td>
<td>2.12 (1.79-2.51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1: Demographic variables</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.08-1.42)</td>
<td>1.32 (1.11-1.57)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2: Dietary variables</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>1.63 (1.42-1.86)</td>
<td>2.07 (1.74-2.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3: Health services</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>1.58 (1.38-1.80)</td>
<td>1.90 (1.60-2.26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4: Health behaviors</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.57-1.10)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.78-1.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5: Socioeconomic variables</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>1.35 (1.18-1.55)</td>
<td>1.53 (1.28-1.83)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Model</td>
<td>1.00 (1.00)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.57-1.12)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.60-1.23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
weight stability were the most important. An important variable in our model was weight stability as of age 20. We believe that this variable can capture the lifestyle of people over the course of their life better than their lifestyle currently. Weight change is associated with several factors, including dietary habits and physical activity. Nonetheless, whether weight gain based on self-reported data is a good marker of lifestyle remains to be investigated.

The limitations of this study include its cross-sectional design and use of self-reported tooth loss and self-reported dietary data. Regarding self-reported tooth loss, its validity has been shown using clinical examinations as the gold standard. Any method for evaluating dietary intake is flawed in some way, and there is no gold standard for nutritional questionnaires; nevertheless, data for evaluating food consumption and nutritional intake in a population should be considered. The lack of data related to sugar consumption in this study does not constitute a strong limitation, because the absolute intake of fat and sugar are strongly correlated. There are restrictions in extrapolating our data to the general population of adult workers. Strictly speaking, inferential statistics are designed for random samples, but, in our case, we had a census of university workers, which was used as a non-random sample of general workers. However, we believe that the associations remain valid and accurately reflect current standards for the urban middle class, with reasonable heterogeneity.

**Conclusion**

We can conclude that the relationship between tooth loss and overweight/obesity is confounded by common risk factors that interfere with both conditions. Our findings suggest that programs addressing common risk factors should be encouraged. These results underscore the need for integration among health professionals, such as dentists, medical doctors and nutritionists, to promote health and to reduce oral and nutritional problems. Research to evaluate whether improvements in diet and lifestyle can reduce obesity and dental caries should be encouraged.
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