Evaluation by digital subtraction radiography of induced changes in the bone density of the female rat mandible
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Objectives: To verify whether changes in bone mass induced by glucocorticoid and bisphosphonate can be detected by digital subtraction radiography of lateral X-rays in female rat mandibles.

Methods: 36 Rattus norvegicus (Wistar) females were randomly allocated to three groups: Group 1, control (n = 12); Group 2, induced osteopaenia (n = 12); and Group 3, induced osteopaenia plus risedronate (n = 12). Group 1 received subcutaneous saline solution (2 ml kg⁻¹) injections for 12 weeks. Group 2 received 1 mg kg⁻¹ methylprednisolone acetate for 12 weeks. Group 3 received the same treatment as Group 2, plus 3 mg kg⁻¹ risedronate sodium for a further 12 weeks. A radiograph of the left mandible was taken on day 0 and after 12 weeks. A third radiograph was taken in Group 3 after risedronate treatment. Digital subtraction of the radiographs was used to compare the intensity of pixels in the control area and the test area. The animals were killed and the same area assessed by subtraction was histologically evaluated.

Results: ANOVA revealed statistically significant histological differences between the three groups. Tukey’s multiple comparisons test showed that Group 2 had the smallest mean proportion of bone trabeculae per field and Group 3 the largest (F = 37.56; P < 0.01). Radiographic subtraction revealed a higher mean pixel intensity in Groups 1 and 3 vs Group 2. In Group 3, glucocorticoid caused a significant loss in radiographic density, and risedronate restored that loss (Friedman’s non-parametric test).

Conclusions: Subtraction radiography was able to detect changes in bone mass induced by glucocorticoid and bisphosphonate.
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Introduction

Loss of bone mass is prevalent among elderly populations and frequently results in fractures, impacting negatively on this population’s quality of life, with enormous socioeconomic repercussions. Its incidence has increased worldwide as a result of the global population’s increased longevity.¹ A possible relationship between mandibular bone loss and osteoporosis was originally investigated due to the importance of bone integrity to the success of dental treatment, and it was demonstrated that osteopaenia in humans has oral manifestations.² Experimental studies of osteoporosis frequently use rodents, due to the fact that they are of low cost and easy to handle, in addition to fitting with current bioethical precepts.³ Glucocorticoids, used for prolonged periods, are the pharmacological agents most frequently linked with inducing osteoporosis, since they reduce osteoblast activity without a compensating reduction in bone reabsorption.⁴ In contrast, bisphosphonates are pyrophosphates that inhibit osteoclast production or activity and are considered effective both for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, with the capacity to increase bone mass of the vertebrae and femur.⁵ The most recent animal studies have primarily assessed quantity and quality of bone tissues by means of bone densitometry and microcomputed tomography.⁶⁻¹⁰ While these
methods are effective, they are less readily available than conventional radiography. Furthermore, their use in experimental research with animal models requires special installations and involves high operational costs.

If mandibular bone loss is related to systemic loss, the possibility of carrying out longitudinal studies with animals in which these changes are quantified by means of radiographic subtraction techniques may open new horizons in osteoporosis research. Therefore, the objective of this study was to investigate whether glucocorticoid- and bisphosphonate-induced changes in bone mass can be detected by means of subtraction radiography of lateral X-rays of female rat mandibles.

Materials and methods

Study design and sample
This randomized, controlled animal experiment was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at the School of Dentistry, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil).

36 females of the species *Rattus norvegicus*, Wistar line, were allocated at random to one of three groups at 13 weeks old, identified by colours on their tails and kept in an environment with a controlled temperature of 23±3°C, relative air humidity of 55±15% and a light–dark cycle of 12–12 h. They were fed with a standard laboratory diet (Labina™; Purina, Canoas, RS, Brazil), containing 1.3% calcium, plus water *ad libitum*.

Experimental groups
The three groups were as follows: Group 1, control (*n* = 12); Group 2, induced osteopaenia (*n* = 12); and Group 3, induced osteopaenia plus risedronate (*n* = 12). All three groups were treated for 12 weeks; Group 1 was given subcutaneous saline solution (2 ml kg⁻¹) injections three times a week. Group 2 was given methylprednisolone acetate (1 ml kg⁻¹, Depo-Medrol; Pfizer, Puurs, Belgium) in suspension, diluted in saline solution. Group 3 (induced osteopaenia plus risedronate) was given additional treatment with risedronate sodium (3 mg kg⁻¹, Actonel OSG; Norwich Pharmaceuticals, North Norwich, NY) diluted in distilled water at a volume of 5 ml kg⁻¹ for 12 weeks, six times per week, administered orally with a gavage syringe and needle for rats IC 810 (Insight Equipamentos Científicos Ltda., Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil). All animals were weighed at the start and end of the experiment.

Radiographs
A radiograph was taken of the left mandible of each animal on day 0 and after the initial 12 weeks of treatment. A third radiograph was taken of each animal in Group 3 after the 12 additional weeks of treatment with risedronate.

Radiographs were taken with the animals secured in a positioning device developed by the authors, in which the rat, under intraperitoneal anaesthesia (sodium thiopental, Thiopenta, 40 mg kg⁻¹; Cristália, São Paulo, Brazil), was held in ventral decubitus on a supporting base with its head positioned and fixed to the cephalostat at three points: the interdental space between the lower and upper central incisors (in occlusion), and the left and right auditory canals. The medial sagittal plane of the head was parallel to the film support and the X-ray machine’s cylinder was fitted to the positioning device’s locator in such a way as to obtain a vertical angle of −30° and a focal distance of 30 cm (Figure 1).

According to previously established criteria, the X-ray unit operated at 8 mA at 50 kVp (Dabi Atlante, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil), with a number 2 periapical film, sensitivity E (Ektaspeed Plus; Eastman Kodak Co., Rochester, NY) and exposure time of 1 s.

Films were processed using new solutions in a standardized manner, using the temperature–time method, and images were also digitized in a standardized manner, using a scanner with a transparency adapter (Epson Perfection 2450®; Epson, Long Beach, CA), at full size, with automatic brightness and contrast adjustment, at a resolution of 300 dpi, in 8-bit mode and then saved in TIFF format.

Radiographic subtraction
Prior to subtraction and due to the animals’ growth, it was necessary to perform geometric adjustment of the images using the Regeemy program (image registration and mosaicking, v0.2.43; Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, São José dos Campos, Brazil). The two images to be corrected were displayed side by side, with the first image being the most recent. Corresponding points were marked on both images. Six pairs of reference points were used, demarcating the dimensions of the mandible. Only the first image was resized, based on the points marked, to achieve a similar size to the second image to allow for correct superimposition of the pair of images for subtraction.

Figure 1 Animal (*Rattus norvegicus*) in positioning device for radiograph
Radiographic subtraction was carried out using Adobe Photoshop CS (v8.0; Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA). The initial image was pasted over the final image as a new layer, and had its greyscale inverted and its opacity adjusted to 50%. Using the histogram function of the same software, mean and standard deviations were obtained for two areas of each subtracted image: one for the mandible (the same region that would later be assessed histologically, below the second molar apex) and another for the control area, both 1020 pixels (Figure 2). This analysis was performed by a calibrated observer who was blind to which group each image belonged.

In the control and corticoid groups, just one subtraction was performed for each animal (initial vs final radiographs). In the risedronate group, three subtractions per animal were performed: initial vs end of corticoid; end of corticoid vs end of risedronate; and initial vs end of risedronate radiographs.

Euthanizing procedure
The animals were euthanized under intraperitoneal anaesthesia with sodium thiopental (Thiopentax, 40 mg kg\(^{-1}\); Cristália, São Paulo, Brazil), with those in Groups 1 and 2 killed after 12 weeks and those in Group 3 after 24 weeks of treatment. Once euthanized, the left hemimandible was dissected in order to obtain the same area of interest that had been demarcated on the radiographs. The anatomical samples obtained were stored individually in labelled plastic pots, containing 20 ml of neutral buffered formalin solution at 10\% (Merck, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil).

Preparation and histological assessment of specimens
The samples were decalcified in a 1:1 solution of formic acid at 50\% (Merck, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and sodium citrate at 20\% (Synth Lab, São Paulo, Brazil) at the Oral Pathology Laboratory at the UFRGS Dental School. They were kept submersed in the solution, which was renewed daily, throughout the period of decalcification. The decalcification time was controlled by attempting to transfix the specimen with a histological needle.

The decalcified specimens were cut with a scalpel along the mesial crowns of the second molars and the distal of the third molars and the pieces processed for embedding in paraffin. Semiserial sections were cut perpendicular to the buccal and lingual cortices with a 4 \(\mu\)m thickness at 1 mm intervals, starting at the mesial extreme of the piece, using a Leica RM 2155 rotary microtome (Leica Microsystems, Nussloch, Germany). The sections were mounted on histological slides.

The slides were then stained using haematoxylin and eosin (Harris haematoxylin and Yellow eosin at 3\%; Newprov, Pinhais, Brazil) for viewing under a transmitted light microscope. The central area of each histological section was selected and captured using an optical microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany), at a magnification of \(\times 4\), coupled to a digital camera (Nikon Coolpyx, Ayuthaia, Thailand) with a resolution of 300 dpi.

The images on the slides were imported into Adobe Photoshop CS (v8.0; Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA) and then a central area was selected, comprising 568\(^2\) pixels, situated between the buccal and lingual cortices of the mandible, and between the root apices of the molar and the mandibular canal. This area was cropped and used to quantify the trabecular area, using tools available in the same program.

The “extract” filter was then used to select just those pixels representing trabecular bone by discarding the image of medullary spaces. This procedure fills the unselected area of the image with a uniform white
colour. Next, just the coloured part of the image was selected and, once more using the histogram function, the area (in pixels) of bone trabeculae was obtained (Figure 3).

It was then possible to calculate the ratio between the total area of the image and the trabecular area. Slides were assessed in batches, with a maximum of ten images processed per day and, after a minimum interval of 7 days, a second assessment was made.

Statistical analysis
Student’s $t$-test for comparing the means of paired samples was used to test the degree of agreement between the first and second measurement of trabecular bone area.

ANOVA, supplemented by Tukey’s multiple comparison test, was applied at a 5\% significance level to test differences between study groups in terms of weight, optical density of subtraction images and the ratio of trabecular bone to medullary space. Since the comparisons within Group 3 were of paired data, Friedman’s non-parametric test was applied to them. Data processing and analysis was performed using the statistical software SPSS v10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results
ANOVA did not identify significant differences between the groups in terms of weight at the start of the experiment; however, the same analysis supplemented by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test demonstrated that Group 3 (risedronate) exhibited greater mean weight at the end of the experiment than Groups 1 (control) and 2 (corticoid) ($F = 3.88; P = 0.03$).

Table 1 lists the data used for the error assessment of the histological method proposed as a gold standard. It is observed that the first assessment did not significantly differ from the second (Student’s $t$-test for paired samples, $\alpha = 1\%$).

In response to this result, the mean of both assessments was used for comparisons between groups. In this comparison (Table 2), ANOVA identified statistically significant differences between all three groups. Tukey’s multiple comparisons test demonstrated that Group 2 (corticoid) exhibited the lowest mean proportion of bone trabeculae per field, followed by Group 1 (control) and last, with the highest mean, Group 3 (risedronate) ($F = 37.56; P = 0.01$).

Once the difference had been confirmed histologically, the results of radiographic subtraction were also compared (Table 3). This analysis is based on the variation in pixel intensity in the control area less that of the test area. In this case, negative figures indicate lost radiographic density, while positive numbers indicate gain. The ANOVA results show that there are significant differences between groups and Tukey’s multiple comparisons test indicates that Groups 1 (control) and 3 (risedronate) do not differ and have higher means than Group 2 (corticoid).

Table 1 Comparison between first and second histological assessments (percentage of trabecular bone per field)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Mean difference*</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>70.32</td>
<td>14.71</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>69.74</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean difference between assessments

Table 2 Histological assessment of three treatment groups: percentage of trabecular bone per field

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>[68.22 to 75.78]</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>53.94</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>[45.98 to 61.90]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84.14</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>[79.56 to 88.72]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letter do not differ. *95% confidence interval for mean
The Group 3 (risedronate) radiographic subtraction results for the different stages of the study were then compared (Table 4). Friedman’s non-parametric test indicates significant differences between them. In this group, administration of the glucocorticoid resulted in significant loss of radiographic density and the risedronate restored it.

Discussion

Osteoporosis and osteopaenia are characterized by loss of bone mass and the animal model most widely used to study them has been the rat, because loss of bone mass can be induced by a variety of mechanisms and the rat responds to the action of the drugs used to treat osteoporosis. The rat also is appropriate for research into non-invasive diagnostic techniques for later evaluation in humans. Furthermore, it provides fundamental data similar to those observed in the human skeleton.

Notwithstanding, radiographic procedures designed to obtain measurements used with large animals and humans are problematic, if not impossible, due to the small size of rats. The first difficulty encountered is the need for a device which ensures the reproducibility of radiographic views of the same animal, immobilizing live rats during the X-ray. For this purpose, prior to this study the authors developed a positioning device for taking lateral X-rays of rodent mandibles, which proved to result in reproducible images.

Still on the subject of problems with obtaining radiographs of rats, in order that these record anatomical structural details, it is necessary to use low power X-ray equipment ranging from 20–50 kVp. We have previously evaluated the ideal exposure conditions for the technique being employed, finding that excellent quality images could be obtained using E-speed film, a focal distance of 30 cm and exposure time of 1 s, with an X-ray unit operating at 8 mA at 50 kVp, which is the configuration used in this study.

The administration of high doses of glucocorticoids for prolonged periods is associated with loss of bone mass, which is more evident and occurs faster in trabecular bone than in cortical bone due to a more rapid turnover, a larger number of cells and a greater surface area. The glucocorticoid dosage used in this study has previously been employed by Takahashi et al to induce osteopaenia in female rats. Bone mass was reduced on average by 6% in relation to controls, verified by bone densitometry of the second lumbar vertebra. The lower trabecular volume observed in Group 2 (corticoid) in this study has also been observed in other studies.

In spite of a raised awareness of the potential risk of osteonecrosis of the jaw among patients receiving bisphosphonate therapy, this is still the treatment of choice for glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and is considered the gold standard of pharmacological treatment. Administered orally, bisphosphonates are considered effective for both prevention and treatment, since they reduce bone loss and increase bone mineral density of the vertebrae and femur by 4.5–75%. Of the bisphosphonates, it is risedronate sodium that has the most powerful action, offers the best tolerance when given orally and has least side effects, in addition to having a strong affinity for bone tissue and a strong antiresorption potential, with a dose-dependent effect.

In this study, treatment with bisphosphonate was capable of restoring the lost bone mass caused by administration of the glucocorticoid, raising the trabecular bone/medullary spaces ratio to levels slightly above those of the control group, which has also been observed in other studies. Diagnosis and study of metabolic diseases of bone, especially of its trabecular portion, involves histomorphometry or quantitative histology, which consists of counting or measuring the cellular components and changes in bone microarchitecture. The method permits mineralization to be measured and bone formation to be studied which, in the case of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, is characterized by thinning of trabeculae, which leads to a reduction in bone volume, after high doses, and to recovery of bone mass after treatment with bisphosphonate. Trabecular organization parameters may be associated with bone mineral density. When performing microscopic analysis of trabecular density, it is usual to superimpose a counting grid on the image in order to measure trabecular and medullary areas. Counting the number of points intersecting the histological image of the area of interest allows it to be quantified for later calculation of

### Table 3: Comparison of radiographic subtraction data between groups (pixel intensity in control area minus pixel intensity in test area: negative figures indicate lost radiographic density, while positive numbers indicate gain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean*</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>[−0.12 to 0.80]</td>
<td>143.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−4.28</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>[−4.93 to −3.63]</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>[0.17 to 0.87]</td>
<td>143.65</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same letter do not differ. 95% confidence interval for mean.

### Table 4: Comparison of risedronate intragroup data (pixel intensity in control area minus pixel intensity in test area: negative figures indicate lost radiographic density, while positive numbers indicate gain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure*</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I vs C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−4.76</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I vs R</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C vs R</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
proportions. In this study, measuring the area of trabeculae in each field with the aid of an image analysis program proved to be simple and reproducible, demonstrating that this method can serve as a model for histomorphometric studies.

Many different methods have been employed to measure bone mineral density when diagnosing osteoporosis: quantitative computed tomography, peripheral quantitative computed tomography and microtomography, but it is dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) that is used as the gold standard in studies of bone mineral density.

Comparison of conventional radiographs offers little precision and merely provides evidence of accentuated changes in bone density. Detection of subtle alterations in bone density. Detection of subtle

When performing subtraction radiography, geometric variations and variations in density of the radiographic film resulting from exposure and processing conditions must be minimized to make it possible to obtain a quantitative analysis of longitudinal bone alterations in mineralized tissues.

In this study, even though the X-rays had been standardized, discrepancies were observed in the size of images, attributed to growth of the animals. In order to overcome this situation, the first image was adjusted to the second, using an open access program. Regeemey, available at http://regima.dpi.inpe.br, that had originally been designed to align satellite image and has already been tested with X-ray images.

A study that compared five software programs’ efficacy for radiographic subtraction considered that Adobe Photoshop generated subtraction images of the lowest quality. However, bearing in mind that the authors did not describe the steps they took to perform subtraction, we believe, based on analysis of the image published, that they merely applied transparency to the upper layer of the image without inverting its greyscale. In this study, the subtraction images generated were considered completely satisfactory.

In conclusion, administration of methylprednisolone was capable of inducing osteoporosis in the mandibles of female rats and the lost bone mass was restored by administration of risedronate sodium. These changes were detected by means of subtraction radiography.
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