Exact Results for the Thermal and Magnetic Properties of Strong Coupling Ladder Compounds
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We investigate the thermal and magnetic properties of the integrable \( \text{su}(4) \) ladder model by means of the quantum transfer matrix method. The magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, magnetic entropy, and high field magnetization are evaluated from the free energy derived via the recently proposed method of high temperature expansion for exactly solved models. We show that the integrable model can be used to describe the physics of the strong coupling ladder compounds. Excellent agreement is seen between the theoretical results and the experimental data for the known ladder compounds \((51\text{AP})_2\text{CuBr}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{Cu}_2(\text{C}_5\text{H}_2\text{N}_2)_2\text{Cl}_4, \text{etc.}\)
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The experimental realization of compounds with a ladderlike structure [1] has contributed to the intense interest in low-dimensional quantum systems. The existence of a spin gap, magnetization plateaux, quantum critical points, and superconductivity under hole doping are examples of key physical properties observed in the ladder compounds. Of particular importance are the properties under a magnetic field \( H \). According to the perturbation theory result [1,2], the first-order terms for the zero temperature energy gap \( \Delta \) and the critical field \( H_c \) are given in terms of the rung \((J_1)\) and leg \((J_2)\) exchange couplings by \( \Delta = J_1 - J_2 \) and \( \mu_B g H_c = J_1 - 2 J_2 \). These results are in good agreement with the experimental data for strong coupling ladder compounds. However, the calculation of properties such as the full temperature phase diagram, the high field magnetization curve, and the specific heat provide a significant challenge.

We demonstrate here that the integrable \( \text{su}(4) \) ladder model [3,4] is capable of describing the physics of the ladder compounds. Indeed, the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) applied to the integrable \( \text{su}(4) \) ladder model predicts the critical fields \( H_{c1} = J_1 - 4 J_2 / \gamma \) and \( \mu_B g H_{c2} = J_1 + 4 J_2 / \gamma \), where \( \gamma \) is a rescaling parameter, which are also good fits for the strong coupling compounds [5]. Very recently the high temperature expansion (HTE) method [6,7] suggested a way to calculate the full thermodynamic properties of integrable models from the so-called \( T \) system [8] appearing in the quantum transfer matrix (QTM) formalism [9,10]. Here we extend this approach to the integrable ladder model to derive the thermal and magnetic properties of the strong coupling ladder compounds. We compare our results with the experimental data obtained for the compounds \( \text{Cu}_2(\text{C}_5\text{H}_2\text{N}_2)_2\text{Cl}_4 \) [11,12] and \((51\text{AP})_2\text{CuBr}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) [13].

The Hamiltonian of the ladder model is [3–5,14]

\[
\mathcal{H} = \frac{J}{\gamma} \mathcal{H}_{\text{leg}} + J_\parallel \sum_{j=1}^{L} S_j \bar{T}_j - \mu_B g H \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\bar{S}_j^2 + T_j^2).
\]

\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{leg}} = \sum_{j=1}^{L} (\bar{S}_j \bar{S}_{j+1} + \bar{T}_j \bar{T}_{j+1} + 4 \bar{S}_j \bar{T}_j \bar{T}_{j+1} \bar{T}_{j+1}),
\]

where \( \bar{S}_j \) and \( \bar{T}_j \) are Heisenberg operators, \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton, and \( g \) is the Landé factor. Throughout, \( L \) is the number of rungs and periodic boundary conditions are imposed. In the strong coupling limit, the contribution to the low-temperature physics from the multibody term in \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{leg}} \) is minimal and, as a consequence, the integrable ladder Hamiltonian exhibits similar critical behavior to the standard Heisenberg ladder [5]. We adapt the model into the QTM method [9]. The eigenvalue of the QTM (up to a constant) is obtained by the nested Bethe ansatz to be

\[
T_i^{(1)}(v, v_1^{(0)}) = e^{\mu_1 \phi_1(v - i)} Q_1(v + i) Q_1(v - i) + e^{\mu_2 \phi_2(v)} Q_2(v + i) Q_2(v - i) + e^{\mu_3 \phi_3(v)} Q_3(v - i) Q_3(v + i) + e^{\mu_4 \phi_4(v)} Q_4(v - i) Q_4(v + i).
\]

In this equation the chemical potential terms are \( \mu_1 = J_1 / 2, \mu_2 = \mu_B g H, \mu_3 = 0, \) and \( \mu_4 = -\mu_B g H, \) with \( \phi_\pm(v) = (v \pm i u N)^{1/2} \). The inhomogeneity parameter \( u_N = -(J_1 J_2 / \gamma N), \) with \( Q_a(v) = \prod_{n=1}^{L} (v - v_1^{(0)}) \), for \( a = 1, 2, 3, \) Here \( N \) denotes the Trotter-Suzuki number. The fused \( T_m^{(a)} \) system [8], which denotes the row-to-row transfer matrix with fusion type \( (a, m) \) in the auxiliary space carrying the \( m \)-fold symmetric tensor of the \( a \) fundamental representation of the
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su(4) algebra, is essentially generated by the QTM eigenvalue $T_1^{(1)}$ in (2). Thus $T_1^{(1)}$ can be embedded into the fused $T_m^{(a)}$ system. The analytic nonzero and constant asymptotic properties of the normalized $T_m^{(a)}(v)$ system suggest the expansion ansatz

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} T_1^{(a)}(v) = \exp \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^{(a)}(v) \left( \frac{J_0}{\gamma T} \right)^n \right].$$

with $b_n^{(a)}(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_{n,j}^{(a)} v^{2j}/[v^2 + (a + 1)^2/4]^n$. The QTM eigenvalue satisfies a set of the nonlinear integral equations [7]

$$T_1^{(a)}(v) = Q_1^{(a)} + \int \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{v - y - \beta_1^{(a)}} \left[ \frac{T_1^{(a-1)}(y + \beta_1^{(a)} - \frac{1}{2} i) T_1^{(a+1)}(y + \beta_1^{(a)} - i)}{T_1^{(a)}(y + \beta_1^{(a)} - i)} \right] + \int \frac{dy}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{v - y + \beta_1^{(a)}} \left[ \frac{T_1^{(a-1)}(y - \beta_1^{(a)} + \frac{1}{2} i) T_1^{(a+1)}(y - \beta_1^{(a)} + \frac{1}{2} i)}{T_1^{(a)}(y - \beta_1^{(a)} + i)} \right], \quad a = 1, 2, 3.$$ (4)

Following Ref. [7], the coefficients $c_{n,j}^{(a)}$ can be obtained recursively from Eq. (4) with initial conditions $b_0^{(a)} = \ln Q_1^{(a)}$, where $Q_1^{(a)}$ are constants related to the chemical potential terms via $\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{|b| \to \infty} T_1^{(a)}(v) = Q_1^{(a)}$ with $Q_1^{(0)} = 1$ and $Q_1^{(4)} = \exp(J_{1/2}/2T)$. In this way the spin ladder free energy $f(T, H) = -T \ln T_1^{(1)}$ can be expanded in powers of $J_0/\gamma T$. For the first few orders we have

$$-\frac{1}{T} f(T, H) = \ln(2B_{e,1}) + A \left( \frac{J_0}{\gamma T} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left( A - A^2 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{J_0}{\gamma T} \right)^2.$$ (5)

where $A = B_{e,0}(1 + 2B_{e,0})/B_{e,1}$ with $e = \exp(J_{1/2}/2T)$ and

$$B_{x,y} = x \cosh \left( \frac{J_{1/2}}{2T} \right) + y \cosh \left( \frac{\mu_B g H}{T} \right).$$ (6)

We find that the analytic expression (5) is sufficiently accurate to evaluate the model's thermodynamics. Nevertheless, we have considered the HTE up to fifth order.

The experimental measurements of the susceptibility and magnetization of the compound (5IAP)$_2$CuBr$_4$·2H$_2$O [13] (abbreviated B5i2aT) suggest a spin ladder with exchange couplings $J_{1/2} = 13.0$ K and $J_0 = 1.15$ K. From the HTE for the integrable model we find that the values $J_{1/2} = 13.3$ K and $J_0 = 1.15$ K with rescaling parameter $\gamma = 4$ give excellent fits to both the susceptibility and magnetization [15]. The temperature dependence of the susceptibility is shown in Fig. 1. The agreement with the theoretical curve derived from the HTE is clearly excellent. The typical rounded peak for low magnetic field, characteristic of a low-dimensional antiferromagnet, is observed around 8.1 K, in excellent agreement with the experimentally estimated value of 8 K. The inset in Fig. 1 shows the low-temperature behavior of the susceptibility, which is in excellent agreement with the experimental data.

The theoretical curves for the high field magnetization shown in Fig. 2 for different temperatures are also in good agreement with the experimental values. The field dependent magnetization curve predicts the low-temperature phase diagram as well as the magnetization plateau. For very low temperature the rung singlet forms a dimerized ground state if the magnetic field is below the critical field $H_{c1}$. The length of the antiferromagnetic correlation is finite while the triplet state is gap full. For finite temperatures the triplet excitations are also involved in the gapped phase. This can be observed in the high field magnetization curves for $T = 1.59$ K and $T = 4.35$ K in Fig. 2. At the critical field $H_{c1}$, the gap is closed with $\mu_B g H_{c1} = \Delta$. If the magnetic field is above the critical point $H_{c1}$, the lower triplet component becomes

![FIG. 1 (color online). The susceptibility versus temperature for B5i2aT at $H = 1$ T [13]. The solid line denotes the susceptibility evaluated directly from the HTE. A parameter fit suggests the coupling constants $J_{1/2} = 13.3$ K and $J_0 = 1.15$ K with $\gamma = 4$, $g = 2.1$, and $\mu_B = 0.672$ K/T. The inset shows the same fit to the susceptibility at low temperature.](image-url)
involved in the ground state. For zero temperature, it can be rigorously shown that the other two higher triplet components do not become involved in the ground state [5]. It follows that the strong coupling ladder can be mapped to the XXZ Heisenberg chain with an effective magnetic field term [2,16]. The magnetization increases almost linearly with the field towards the critical point $H_{c2}$, where the ground state is fully polarized. At $T = 0.4$ K, the HTE magnetization curve indicates $H_{c1} \approx 8.3$ T and $H_{c2} \approx 10.5$ T, which are in excellent agreement with the experimental estimates of 8.4 and 10.4 T. The experimental magnetization in the singlet ground state at low temperature appears to be nonzero. This nonzero magnetization is attributed to paramagnetic impurities, which drive the low-temperature deviation between the experimental and the theoretical curves in Fig. 2.

The inflection point is clearly visible in the experimental magnetization curves [13]. This point is also evident in the theoretical curves at $\mu_B g H = J_\perp$, where the magnetization moment is $\frac{1}{2}$. The physical meaning of the inflection point is that the probabilities of the singlet and the triplet states $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ in the ground state are equal. Therefore, for the strong coupling ladder compounds at zero temperature the one-point-correlation function $\langle S_j \cdot T_j \rangle = -\frac{1}{3} \frac{S^z}{4}$ lies in a gapped singlet ground state, which indicates an ordered dimer phase, while $\langle S_j \cdot T_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ in the fully polarized ferromagnetic phase. However, in a Luttinger liquid phase, we find $\langle S_j \cdot T_j \rangle = -\frac{3}{4} S^z$. At low temperatures $T \ll J_\perp$, the one-point correlation function is given by $\langle S_j \cdot T_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} + [(d/dJ_\perp)f(T, H)]_T$. The field-induced quantum phase transitions can be clearly seen from the one-point correlation function curve shown in the inset of Fig. 2.

We turn now to the ladder compound Cu$_2$(C$_4$H$_{12}$N$_2$)$_2$Cl$_4$ [11,12] [abbreviated Cu(Hp)Cl]. In Fig. 3, we show the zero field magnetic susceptibility curve obtained from the fifth order HTE free energy. A full fit with the experimental data suggests the coupling constants $J_\perp = 13.5$ K, $J_\parallel = 2.4$ K, and $\gamma = 5$. The effect of the magnetic field is to lift the susceptibility in the low-temperature regime. We notice that there is a
shown in Fig. 5. The slopes of the critical curves also in agreement with the experimental data [12].

The inset of Fig. 4 shows that the entropy deviation from the experimental data at very low temperatures increases. For the magnetic ordering is observed around 4.5 K. For temperatures $T < 4.5$ K, there is an exponential decay due to an ordered phase. The humps become smaller as the magnetic field increases. For the $H = 4$ T curve a peak is observed at around 4 K. As to be expected, there appears to be a small deviation from the experimental data at very low temperatures. The inset of Fig. 4 shows that the entropy curves for magnetic fields $H = 0$ T and $H = 4$ T are also in agreement with the experimental data [12].

The full phase diagram for the two compounds is shown in Fig. 5. The slopes of the critical curves indicate that the estimated values of $H_{c1}$ and $H_{c2}$ at $T = 0$ K coincide with the TBA results [5]. We have also examined other strong coupling compounds. Comparison with the experimental data for the compound BIP-BNO [17] suggests the coupling constants $J_\perp = 75$ K, $J_\parallel = 15$ K with $\gamma = 6.0$ and $g = 2.0$. For the compound $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_2][\text{NO}_3]_2$ [18] we find $J_\perp = 515$ K, $J_\parallel = 40$ K with $\gamma = 5.0$ and $g = 2.14$. The respective spin excitation gaps, $\Delta = 52$ K and $\Delta = 460$ K, are also in good agreement with the experimental values.

The application of the HTE method to other ladder models, such as the mixed-spin ladders, should now be straightforward. We also note that the HTE method predicts a fractional magnetization plateau with respect to different Landé $g$ factors in the $su(4)$ spin-orbital model, which coincides with the Bethe ansatz [19] and TBA [20] results.
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15. An overall unit constant in the susceptibility needs to be chosen to match the experimental scaling as the $T$ system is determined only up to a multiplicative factor.