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Sustained immunogenicity and efficacy of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine
Up to 8.4 years of follow-up
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Prophylactic human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines are now available and vaccination programs are being widely implemented, targeting adolescent girls prior to sexual debut. Since the risk of HPV exposure persists throughout a woman’s sexual life, the duration of protection provided by vaccination is critical to the overall vaccine effectiveness. We report the long-term efficacy and immunogenicity of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine (Cervarix®) up to 8.4 years after the first vaccine dose.

In an initial placebo-controlled study performed in US, Canada and Brazil, women aged 15–25 years with normal cervical cytology, HPV-16/18 seronegative by ELISA, DNA-negative for 14 oncogenic HPV types by PCR, received either the HPV-16/18 vaccine or placebo (n = 1,113). Subjects were followed up to 6.4 years after the first dose (n = 776). We report an additional 2-year follow-up for women enrolled from the Brazilian centers from the initial study (n = 436).

During the current follow-up study (HPV-023, NCT00518336), no new infection or lesions associated with HPV-16/18 occurred in the vaccine group. Vaccine efficacy over the entire follow-up (up to 8.4 years) was 95.1% (94.6, 99.0) for incident infection, 100% (79.8, 100) for 6-month persistent infection, 100% (56.1, 100) for 12-month persistent infection and 100% (0, 100) for CIN2+ associated with HPV-16/18. All women in the vaccine group remained seropositive to both HPV-16/18, with antibody titers for total and neutralizing antibodies remaining several folds above natural infection levels. The safety profile was clinically acceptable for both vaccine and control groups. This is, to date, the longest follow-up study for a licensed cervical cancer vaccine.

Introduction

Persistent infection with an oncogenic human papillomavirus (HPV) type is a necessary step in the pathogenesis of cervical neoplasia. Among all 40 HPV types that are known to infect human mucosa, more than 14 types are oncogenic (HPV-16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68/73). At least 70% of cervical cancer cases are caused by oncogenic types HPV-16 and HPV-18.1 In addition, it is now recognized that the spectrum of HPV-associated cancers extends beyond cervical cancer, with HPV being the major etiological agent in squamous cell carcinoma of the anus and a significant contributor to a major proportion of squamous cell carcinoma of the vulva, vagina, penis, mouth and oro-pharynx.2 A vaccine that could provide long-term protection against infection and disease caused by oncogenic HPV types would be of great value.

At this point in time, HPV vaccination is understood to primarily provide protection against HPV infection through the
generation of high levels of HPV-specific neutralizing antibodies. It is hypothesized that neutralizing antibodies bind to HPV's outer shell and prevent infection of host cells.

Prophylactic HPV vaccines are now available and vaccination programs are being widely implemented, with young adolescent girls being the primary target group for most programs. However, the risk of HPV infection persists throughout a woman's sexual life. Therefore the duration of protection provided by HPV vaccination is critical to the overall vaccine effectiveness.

The HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine [HPV-16/18 vaccine, Cervarix®; GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) Biologicals] (hereafter referred to as the HPV-16/18 vaccine) has been designed to provide long-term protection against HPV-16 and HPV-18 infections through the generation of a sustained immune response, including high and sustained levels of neutralizing antibodies.

Here we report an interim analysis of a long-term follow-up study with efficacy and immunogenicity data up to a maximum of 8.4 y after initial vaccination.

**Results**

The primary vaccination study HPV-001 (NCT00689741), enrolled a total of 1,113 women from North America (n = 897) and Brazil (n = 506). Of the initial cohort, 776 women (including 448 women from the Brazilian centers) continued in the follow-up study HPV-007 (NCT00120848) up to 6.4 y after the first vaccination. Women from the Brazilian centers were invited to participate in the current study HPV-023 (NCT00518336). A total of 436 women agreed to continue, and most (n = 433; 99.3%) completed the current study to the time of the present interim analysis which represents up to 8.4 y since first vaccination.

In the follow-up study HPV-007 (NCT00120848) up to 6.4 y after initial vaccination, vaccine efficacy against incident HPV-16/18 (HPV-16/18 vaccine) infection remained high (85.3% and 70.7% (22.9, 90.5), respectively. Considering the number of subjects reporting at least one event of incident infection and the number of subjects included in each group, the incidence rate per year was 0.9% for HPV-31 (n=195) compared with five new cases of HPV-45 in the placebo group (n=168). When analyzed for up to 8.4 y post initial vaccination, vaccine efficacy against HPV-31 and HPV-45 infection (combined analysis) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was 54.1% (3.9, 80.9) and 70.7% (22.9, 90.5), respectively. Considering the number of subjects reporting at least one event of incident infection and the number of subjects included in each group, the incidence rate per year was 0.9% for HPV-31 (n=195) and 1.7% for HPV-45 (n=205) in the control group.

Efficacy against incident and persistent infection. No cases of HPV-16 and/or HPV-18 (HPV-16/18) infection were accrued in the vaccine group, whereas five cases occurred in the placebo group during the two years of the current study. In the combined (pooled) analysis of the preceding studies and the current study covering a follow-up period of up to 8.4 y since first vaccination, the vaccine efficacy against incident HPV-16/18 infection remained high (Table 1).

No cases of persistent infection with HPV-16/18 were detected in either the vaccine or placebo groups during the two years of the current study (Table 1). In the combined analysis, vaccine efficacy against 6-mo and 12-mo persistent infection with HPV-16/18 remained 100% (Table 1).

Few incident infections with HPV-31 and HPV-45 were detected during the 2 y follow-up period. There was 1 new case of HPV-31 in the vaccine group (n = 195) compared with five new cases of HPV-31 in the placebo group (n = 168). For the same time period, there were three new cases of HPV-45 in the vaccine group (n=201) compared with five new cases of HPV-45 in the placebo group (n=168). When analyzed for up to 8.4 y post initial vaccination, vaccine efficacy against HPV-31 and HPV-45 infection (combined analysis) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) was 54.1% (3.9, 80.9) and 70.7% (22.9, 90.5), respectively. Considering the number of subjects reporting at least one event of incident infection and the number of subjects included in each group, the incidence rate per year was 0.9% for HPV-31 (n=195) and 1.7% for HPV-45 (n=205) in the control group.

**Efficacy against cytohistological abnormalities.** There was one case of low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion or greater...
Table 1. Vaccine efficacy against HPV-16/18 associated endpoints up to 8.4 y after first vaccination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>HPV-16/18 vaccine</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Vaccine efficacy, % (95% CI)</th>
<th>HPV-16/18 vaccine</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Vaccine efficacy, % (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incident infection**</td>
<td>176 0 122 5</td>
<td>100 25.6, 100.0</td>
<td>193 3 175 46</td>
<td>95.1 (86.4, 99.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent infection (6-mos)*</td>
<td>178 0 144 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>193 0 175 17</td>
<td>100 (78.9, 100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent infection (12-mos)</td>
<td>178 0 152 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>193 0 175 9</td>
<td>100 (56.1, 100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ ASC-US**</td>
<td>198 0 165 28</td>
<td>100 0.0 (20.8, 99.9)</td>
<td>224 1 219 28</td>
<td>96.9 (51.0, 99.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ LSIL**</td>
<td>198 0 174 1</td>
<td>100 0.0 (33.0%, 100)</td>
<td>224 1 219 17</td>
<td>94.6 (65.7, 99.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN1+**</td>
<td>199 0 182 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>219 0 212 7</td>
<td>100 (35.0, 100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIN2+**</td>
<td>199 0 194 0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>219 0 212 3</td>
<td>100 (-128.0, 100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined analysis of the initial study, first follow-up study and current study in the sub-population of women who were enrolled at Brazilian centers in the current study; *N = Total number of women; n = Number of women reporting ≧ 1 event; †primary endpoint; MIP efficacy cohort (women who met all eligibility criteria, complied with study procedures in preceding and current studies and had data available for efficacy measures); **TVC (women who were enrolled in the current study, had received at least one dose of study vaccine or placebo in the initial study and for whom endpoint measures were available); ≧ ASC-US, Atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance or greater; ≤ LSIL, Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion or greater; CIN1+, Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 1 or greater; CIN2+, cervical intraepithelial neoplasia grade 2 or greater.

Safety.

The safety profile was clinically acceptable for both vaccine and control groups (Table 2). Ten (4.5%) women in the vaccine group and seven (3.3%) in the placebo group experienced a serious adverse event (SAE). None were considered related to the study vaccine or placebo.

The percentage of subjects reporting medically significant adverse events (AEs) (i.e., AEs prompting emergency room or physician visits that are not related to common diseases or SAEs) during the two-year follow-up in this current study was 17.9% in the vaccine group (48 events) and 11.3% in the placebo group (35 events) (Table 2). No clinical pattern of medically significant AEs was observed. Each of the individual preferred terms occurred mostly once.

The percentage of women experiencing a new onset chronic disease (NOCD) up to Month 24 in study HPV-023 was 2.2% in the vaccine group (five subjects) and 0.9% in the placebo group (two subjects) (Table 2). Among these, two women experienced symptoms classified as a potential new onset autoimmune disease (NOCD) up to Month 24 in study HPV-023 for this interim analysis.

In the placebo group, the percentage of women with neutralizing antibody seropositive to both vaccine antigens at the time of the current analysis in the placebo group, none of the subjects were seropositive for neutralizing antibodies against HPV-16 and HPV-18 in the current study.

The antibody kinetics of the neutralizing antibodies displayed a similar time course since initial vaccination to antibodies measured by ELISA for both HPV-16 and HPV-18 (Fig. 3). In the vaccine group, neutralizing antibody levels showed a plateau that began approximately 18 mo post initial vaccination and remained sustained for up to 8.4 y. Neutralizing antibodies for HPV-16 and HPV-18 were at least 4-fold higher in the vaccine group compared with those measured after clearance of natural infection as obtained from study HPV-010 (NCT00423046) performed in women 18–45 y of age (Fig. 3).

The safety analysis was performed on the TVC on data collected from the end of study HPV-007 up to Month 24 of study HPV-023 for this interim analysis.

All women in the vaccine group (included in the subset for pseudovirus-based neutralization assay (PBNA) testing) were also neutralizing antibody seropositive to both vaccine antigens at the time of the current analysis. In the placebo group, none of the subjects were seropositive for neutralizing antibodies against HPV-16 and HPV-18 in the current study.

The antibody kinetics of the neutralizing antibodies displayed a similar time course since initial vaccination to antibodies measured by ELISA for both HPV-16 and HPV-18 (Fig. 3). In the vaccine group, neutralizing antibody levels showed a plateau that began approximately 18 mo post initial vaccination and remained sustained for up to 8.4 y. Neutralizing antibodies for HPV-16 and HPV-18 were at least 4-fold higher in the vaccine group compared with those measured after clearance of natural infection as obtained from study HPV-010 (NCT00423046) performed in women 18–45 y of age (Fig. 3).

Safety. The safety analysis was performed on the TVC on data collected from the end of study HPV-007 up to Month 24 of study HPV-023 for this interim analysis.

All women in the vaccine group (included in the subset for pseudovirus-based neutralization assay (PBNA) testing) were also neutralizing antibody seropositive to both vaccine antigens at the time of the current analysis. In the placebo group, none of the subjects were seropositive for neutralizing antibodies against HPV-16 and HPV-18 in the current study.

The antibody kinetics of the neutralizing antibodies displayed a similar time course since initial vaccination to antibodies measured by ELISA for both HPV-16 and HPV-18 (Fig. 3). In the vaccine group, neutralizing antibody levels showed a plateau that began approximately 18 mo post initial vaccination and remained sustained for up to 8.4 y. Neutralizing antibodies for HPV-16 and HPV-18 were at least 4-fold higher in the vaccine group compared with those measured after clearance of natural infection as obtained from study HPV-010 (NCT00423046) performed in women 18–45 y of age (Fig. 3)."
disease (hypothyroidism and vitiligo). These two cases remain blinded with respect to treatment allocation as the study is still ongoing (Table 2).

A total of 72 pregnancies were reported by 68 subjects (i.e., 4 subjects have reported two pregnancy outcomes), with 53 normal infants born (26 in the vaccine group and 27 in the placebo group) during the 2-y follow-up in the current study. Ten pregnancies were ongoing at the time of this analysis. There were five spontaneous abortions (3 in the vaccine group and 2 in the placebo group) including one missed abortion. Four abnormal pregnancy outcomes (ectopic pregnancy, elective termination with no apparent congenital anomaly, molar pregnancy and still birth with no apparent congenital anomaly) were also reported. At this time of analysis, the study blind is still in place, it is not possible to state whether these occurred in women in the vaccine or placebo group.

Discussion

Despite low but continuing exposure to HPV infection in the current study, no breakthrough cases of HPV-16/18 infection or cytohistological endpoints associated with HPV-16 or HPV-18
occurred in subjects who had received the HPV-16/18 vaccine in the primary study. Five cases of incident infection with HPV-16/18 and one case of cytological abnormality (LSIL) associated with HPV-18 were observed during the 2-y follow-up period, all in the placebo group.

In the combined analysis covering the whole 8.4 y follow-up, vaccine efficacy against either incident or persistent infection with HPV-16/18 was high. As persistent oncogenic HPV infection has been shown to correlate with lesions, efficacy against more severe lesions can be expected.18 Corresponding vaccine efficacy against cytohistopathology associated with HPV-16 or HPV-18 was high, with no cases in the vaccine group. All cases occurred in the placebo group (7 CIN1+ cases and 3 CIN2+ cases).

It should be noted that women with an endpoint (virological, cytological or histopathological) associated with an HPV type in the preceding studies (HPV-001/007) were censored from analyses related to the same endpoint in the current study (HPV-023). As a result of the high vaccine efficacy, this occurred more often in the placebo group than in the vaccine group. Therefore,
for all endpoints, after natural attrition, the number of subjects in study HPV-023 was higher in the vaccine group than in the placebo group. Due to this imbalanced number of subjects at risk (vaccine group > placebo group) and other limitations such as the limited sample size, the limited length of follow-up period at this interim analysis (2 y) and the lower prevalence of non-vaccine HPV types, the focus for the efficacy endpoints, especially those associated with all oncogenic HPV types, is on the combined analysis of HPV-001/007/023 data. The combined analyses of the preceding studies and the current study presented here were not affected by censoring, and therefore provide a global overview of the HPV-16/18 vaccine effect up to 8 y post initial vaccination.

Vaccine efficacy against HPV-31 and HPV-45 incident infection seemed to be sustained through to the 8.4 y time point, post initial vaccination, as calculated for the combined analysis. Again, due to the small sample size and the limited follow-up period, only a few new events (incident/persistent infections, cytohistological abnormalities or histopathological lesions) associated with individual oncogenic HPV types were observed at the time of this analysis. In doing so, power is limited when it comes to the calculation of efficacy estimates against both HPV-31 and HPV-45. It is therefore not possible to fully conclude on long-term protection against non-vaccine types HPV-31 and HPV-45, which are less prevalent than HPV-16 or HPV-18 in this interim analysis. The large phase III program with this vaccine is ongoing due to the small sample size and the limited length of follow-up period at this interim analysis. The large phase III program with this vaccine is ongoing and includes evaluation of vaccine efficacy against non-vaccine types.

As discussed by De Carvalho et al., the vaccine efficacy endpoints were kept the same in study HPV-007 and the current study as in study HPV-001 to allow for both combined analyses of data from all three studies and separate analyses of data from each individual study. Study procedures and laboratory methodology were similar in all three studies to maintain consistency.

High, sustained efficacy for up to 8.4 y against HPV-16/18 infections and cytohistological endpoints was associated with high and persistent levels of IgG and neutralizing antibodies against HPV-16 and HPV-18. All women in the vaccine group were seropositive to HPV-16 and HPV-18 as measured by both ELISA and PBNA at the time of this analysis. Furthermore, both IgG and neutralizing antibody levels were several folds higher than those following after natural infection in other studies.16,17 It is currently understood that neutralizing antibodies are likely to play a major role in vaccine-derived protection against HPV infection since passive immunization is protective in animal models.6,7 HPV L1 VLP vaccines are highly immunogenic, with serum neutralizing antibodies persisting for at least eight years, as demonstrated in this study. Earlier studies among vaccinated individuals have shown a strong correlation between the total IgG measured by ELISA in this study and the PBNA, which measures neutralizing antibodies.16,17 Statistical modeling predicts slow decay of vaccine induced antibodies for at least 20 y with the assumption that if long-term persistence of antibodies has a similar relevance for protection to that observed with some other vaccines, then a booster may not be needed until considerable time has elapsed post vaccination.15

Although no correlate of protection has yet been defined for either HPV-16 or HPV-18, the persistence of high levels of antibodies to both vaccine antigens in this study appears to be directly related with the persistence of high levels of vaccine efficacy. The antibody kinetics summarized in this study correlate with sustained antibody production, and is likely to indicate both the generation of long-lived plasma cells and the induction of memory B-cells that replenish the plasma cell pool.17 The AS04-adjuvant system in the GSK HPV-16/18 vaccine formulation is likely to be a key factor in its sustained immunogenicity.12 Experience with other vaccines has suggested that the scale of the humoral response, together with memory B-cell and T-cell induction are both important for long-term protection.21,22

In conclusion, high vaccine efficacy against HPV-16/18 infection and cytohistological endpoints associated with HPV-16 or HPV-18 were observed during the first two years of the current study in the vaccinated group. The HPV-16/18 vaccine provided high and sustained levels of IgG and neutralizing antibodies against HPV-16 and HPV-18 with all women being seropositive 8.4 y post initial vaccination. Furthermore, antibody titers for total IgG and neutralizing antibodies remained several-folds above natural infection levels. The HPV-16/18 vaccine continues to demonstrate a clinically acceptable safety profile. This is the longest study to date of a licensed HPV vaccine containing the two most frequently observed oncogenic HPV

Table 2. Safety of the HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine during the two years of follow-up in HPV-023 (total vaccinated cohort).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint Summaries</th>
<th>HPV-16/18 vaccine (N = 223)</th>
<th>Placebo (N = 213)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>% (95% CI)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>% (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NOCD</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.0 (1.2; 13.4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.0 (1.2; 13.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOAD</td>
<td><em>2</em></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>2</em></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td><em>2</em></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse events</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.5 (2.2; 8.1)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.3 (1.3; 6.7)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.3 (7.4; 16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically significant adverse events</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17.9 (13.1, 23.6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>11.3 (7.4; 16.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPV-16/18 vaccine, HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine; NOCD, new onset chronic disease; NOAD, new onset autoimmune disease. Data are shown for the first two years of the current study. HPV-002 TCV (women who were enrolled in the current study, had received at least one dose of study vaccine or placebo in the initial study and for whom endpoint measures were available); NA, Not available. *n*, n cases reported in one group and none in the other group. These cases remain blinded at the time of analysis. N = Total number of women, n = Number of women reporting ≥ one event.
types, HPV-16 and HPV-18. The study will continue for a further year.

Materials and Methods

Study objectives. The primary objective was to assess long-term vaccine efficacy in the prevention of incident cervical infection with HPV-16/18 in adolescents and young women. Secondary objectives were to evaluate vaccine efficacy against incident infection, persistence and persistence data for any oncogenic HPV type, persistent infection with HPV-16/18, or with each of any oncogenic HPV type, and to evaluate vaccine efficacy against cytological and histopathological abnormalities associated with HPV-16 or HPV-18 or with each or any oncogenic HPV type. Other objectives included the long-term vaccine immunogenicity and safety.13

Study design and participants. The method of the initial study and follow-up studies has been described previously.13,15 In brief, women were originally enrolled into an initial double-blind, randomized, multicenter study (HPV-001).16 Women were recruited from North America (US and Canada) and Brazil. From this initial study, women who received all three doses of vaccine or placebo and whose treatment allocation remained blinded were invited to take part in a follow-up study (HPV-007) whose final results were published after 6.4 y of follow-up.15 Out of those, women participating at Brazilian study centers were invited to continue into the current study (HPV-023). Total study duration will be approximately nine years from administration of the first vaccine/placebo dose in study HPV-001, at which time a final analysis will be performed. Here, we report data from an interim analysis, up to 8.4 y post initial vaccination (Fig. 1).

Healthy young women (aged 15–25 y) with normal cervical cytology at screening, who were HPV-16 and HPV-18 seronegative by ELISA and DNA-negative for 14 oncogenic HPV types (16/18/31/33/35/39/45/51/52/56/58/59/66/68) by SPF10-DEIA/LIPA-PCR system (SPF10-LIPA-PCR) (version 1, Laboratory Biomedical Products), were enrolled into study HPV-001.17

In study HPV-001, women were randomized 1:1 to either GSK Biologicals’ HPV-16/18 AS04-adjuvanted vaccine or placebo given at a 0, 1, 6 mo schedule. The vaccine and placebo have been described previously.15 Treatment allocation has remained blinded throughout the initial, follow-up and current studies as described previously.15 Similar to studies HPV-001 and HPV-007, and according to the study protocol of each study site, women in study HPV-023 continued to receive gynecological care according to Brazilian standards. The Brazilian standard of care at the time of the study involved an annual pap smear, and if any result was abnormal was followed by colposcopy and treatment. Subjects that received the placebo in study HPV-001 and who have remained blinded throughout the studies are to be offered a crossover vaccination course (0, 1, and 6 mo) with the HPV-16/18 vaccine at the completion of the current study. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Procedures and endpoints. Procedures and endpoints concerning virology and cytohistopathology, immunogenicity and safety are described by De Carvalho et al.19

Statistics. Two interim analyses after one and two years of follow-up in the current study were specified by the study protocol and are identical in method. These statistical methods, including the adjustment of the \( \nu \) value, have been described previously.13 The efficacy analyses presented here include data from the first two years of the current study. The immunogenicity data are from the initial, follow-up and current studies for the Brazilian cohort only and are presented to illustrate the kinetics of the immune response to the vaccine antigens, as measured by both ELISA and PRNA. Safety data are presented for the first two years of the current study.13 Vaccine efficacy was calculated against the following clinical endpoints associated with oncogenic HPV types: incident infection, 6-mo and 12-mo persistent infection and cytohistological abnormalities (\( \geq \) ASC-US, \( \geq \) LSIL, CIN1+ and CIN2+). The conditional exact method was used to estimate vaccine efficacy and exact 95% CIs around the rate ratio (ratio of the event rates in the vaccinated vs. placebo group). The calculation took into account the follow-up time of the subjects within each group.

In addition, a descriptive combined (pooled) analysis between efficacy data from the Brazilian cohort from study HPV-001, from the Brazilian cohort of study HPV-007 and data from the current study was performed (for each virological endpoint, vaccine efficacy estimate was calculated and 95% CI provided), with a total follow-up period of up to 8.4 y after first vaccination. Primary analyses of efficacy were performed on the ATP cohort for efficacy for virological endpoints (incident and persistent infection), and on the TVC for efficacy of cytohistological end-points (\( \geq \) ASC-US, \( \geq \) LSIL, CIN1+ and CIN2+). Definitions of ATP and TVC are provided as a footnote in Table 1. Primary analyses of immunogenicity were performed on the ATP cohort for immunogenicity, while the primary safety analyses were performed on the TVC. The safety results are presented in such a way it takes the blind at the subject level into account.
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