Mineral processing and characterization of coal waste to be used as fine aggregates for concrete paving blocks

Processamento mineral e caracterização de rejeito de carvão mineral para produção de blocos de concreto para pavimentação

Abstract

Commercial coal production in the southern region of Brazil has been occurring since the beginning of the twentieth century. Due to the geological characteristics of the region, large amounts of solid wastes are generated. The aim of this work was to evaluate the use of coal waste to produce concrete paving blocks. A procedure to process the coal waste with the purpose of reducing the sulfur content and changing the particle size distribution of the material to meet the specification of fine aggregates was developed. The methodology considered the following steps: (a) sampling of a coal mining waste; (b) gravity separation of the fraction with specific gravity between 2.4 and 2.8; (c) comminution of the material and particle size analysis; (d) technological characterization of the material and production of concrete paving blocks; and (e) acidity generation prediction (environmental feasibility). The results showed that the coal waste considered in this work can be used to replace conventional sand as a fine aggregate for concrete paving blocks in a proportion of up to 50%. This practice can result in cleaner coal production and reduce the demand for exploitation of sand deposits.
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Resumo

A produção de carvão mineral na região sul do Brasil vem ocorrendo desde o início do século XX. Devido às características geológicas da região, grandes quantidades de resíduos sólidos são gerados. O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a utilização de rejeito de carvão para a produção de blocos de pavimentação de concreto. O rejeito de carvão foi beneficiado com o objetivo de reduzir o teor de enxofre e ajustar o tamanho das partículas do material para as especificações de distribuição granulométrica de agregado miúdo. A metodologia considerou os seguintes passos: (a) amostragem do rejeito de carvão mineral; (b) separação gravimétrica da fração com densidade entre 2,4 e 2,8; (c) moagem do material e análise da distribuição granulométrica; (d) caracterização tecnológica do material e produção de blocos de concreto para pavimentação; e (e) predição da geração de acidez (viabilidade ambiental). Os resultados mostraram que o rejeito de carvão utilizado neste trabalho pode ser utilizado para substituir a areia convencional como um agregado miúdo na produção de blocos de concreto para pavimentação numa proporção de até 50%. Esta prática pode colaborar com a produção mais limpa de carvão mineral e reduzir a demanda de exploração de jazidas de areia.

Palavras-chave: rejeito de carvão mineral, meio ambiente, agregado miúdo, concreto, pavimentação.
1. Introduction

Commercial coal production in the southern region of Brazil (comprising the Paraná, Santa Catarina, and Rio Grande do Sul states) has been occurring since the beginning of the twentieth century. Specifically in the Santa Catarina State, the production occurs at the “Irapuá,” “Bonito,” and mainly “Barro Branco” seams. These Gondwanic coals are classified for the major part as a high-volatile bituminous in rank. The thickness of the Barro Branco seam ranges from 1.66 to 2.27 m, with an average value of 1.80 m. However, net clean coal thickness is reduced to 0.47–1.48 m, due to the presence of alternating layers of impure coal (shaley coal and coaly shale), carbonaceous shale, siltstone, and sandstones. Pyrite lenses that are several centimeters thick are also common [1]. Currently, the run-of-mine coal (ROM) is gravimetrically concentrated and almost entirely used for electricity generation. Due to the geological characteristics of the region, large amounts of solid wastes are generated. It is estimated that more than 300 million metric tons of coal waste exist in the south of Brazil, generating environmental impacts and economic costs. Regarding the Santa Catarina Coalfields, about 60%–65% of the ROM coal is discharged at dump deposits as waste [2]. These wastes can lead to the formation of acid mine drainage (AMD), a source of groundwater and surface water pollution [3].

Through gravity concentration processes of coal waste from Barro Branco seam, it is possible to produce three output streams: (i) a low-specific-gravity material composed of shaley coal and carbonaceous shale; (ii) an intermediate material composed of siltstone and sandstone; and (iii) a high-specific-gravity material that is rich in pyrite. Presently, there are some initiatives in Brazil to reprocess some coal waste deposits to recover part of the carbonaceous materials for energy production and, alternatively, to concentrate the pyrite for sulfuric acid production. However, the intermediate-density material still remains, which represents 50%–60% in mass of the coal waste deposit and can be considered as a material for possible use in civil construction [4]. Mining wastes has been considered worldwide as a material for aggregate production [5,6,7], including coal wastes (colliery spoil) [8]. Concrete paving blocks can be used in a large range of applications. The conventional source of fine aggregates for paving blocks are river sand or, alternatively, artificial sand obtained by crushing rocks [9]. However, previous research has shown that it is possible to use some wastes to produce concrete paving blocks, for example, gasification residues [10], construction and demolition waste [11,12], ceramic tile production wastes [13], marble production wastes [14], recycled glass [15], polypropylene fiber [16], crushed brick [17], electric-arc furnace dust [18], red mud [19], and fly ash [19].

According to the Brazilian standard NBR 9781 [20], the compression resistance of concrete blocks for paving should meet the minimum of 35 MPa for pedestrian and commercial vehicles and 50 MPa for heavy-duty vehicles. The same limit of 35 MPa is used by Thailand [21]. Other countries allow lower resistances, like India (30 MPa) [22], Sri Lanka (15 MPa for pedestrians) [23], and Indonesia (20 MPa) [24], or require higher resistances, like USA (55.2 MPa) [25] and UK (49 MPa) [26].

Thus, the aim of this work was to study the use of coal waste to produce concrete blocks for paving. A procedure to process the coal waste with the purpose of reducing the sulfur content and changing the particle size distribution of the material to meet the specification for fine aggregates was developed. Additionally, the coal waste aggregate was characterized in terms of its chemical and physical properties. The article evaluates the main technical and environmental parameters that are involved in recycling part of the coal waste and aimed at turning it into a useful product.

2. Materials and experimental program

Coal waste was collected from the coal dump deposit of the “Verdinho mine,” Santa Catarina State, Brazil, which extracts the Barro Branco seam. The material was submitted to a laboratory dense medium separation processing, using organic liquids, which aimed at obtaining a fraction with relative density between 2.4 and 2.8 [27]. This fraction was crushed in a roller mill and sieved to reach the particle size distribution required for fine aggregates in concrete, according to NBR 7211 [28]. The mineral-processing steps to produce the coal waste fine aggregate from coal mining tailings are presented in Figure 1.

Quartz river sand was obtained from Jacuí River, Rio Grande do Sul State. Technological characterization of both materials included particle size distribution, density measurements, visual observation in a petrographic magnifying lens, and mineral phase determination by x-ray diffraction. Determination of sulfate and chloride ions was conducted following the procedure...
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Elemental analyses of the fine aggregates were carried out by x-ray fluorescence (for Si, Fe, Al, Ca, and K) and high-temperature decomposition in a CHNS analyzer (for C, H, N, and S). The main characteristics of both materials are summarized in Table 1.

Concrete paving blocks were produced in a vertical shaft concrete mixer. The reference trace used 5.36 kg of cement (CP-VA-RS), 6.26 kg of coarse granitic aggregate, 14.18 kg of river sand aggregate, and a water/cement ratio of 0.35. Chemical properties of the cement are presented in Table 2. Coal waste was used as a substitute for river sand aggregate, considering the following volumetric levels of substitution: 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%. For each level of substitution, the water/cement ratio was reestablished to provide the same consistency of concrete [30]. The effect of the experimental parameters on these properties was determined.

Table 1 – Properties of conventional quartz sand aggregates and the coal waste fine aggregate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Conventional river sand aggregate</th>
<th>Coal waste fine aggregate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/dm³)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle shape</td>
<td>Rounded and subrounded</td>
<td>Angular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineralogical composition</td>
<td>Quartz – SiO₂ (major mineral phase)</td>
<td>Quartz – SiO₂ (major mineral phase), kaolinite - Al₄(OH)₄(Si₂O₅), illite - (K,H₃O)₂(Al,Mg,Fe)₂(Si,Al)₄O₁₀((OH)₂,(H₂O)), and gypsum - CaSO₄·2H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfate ions (% of SO₄²⁻)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride ions (% of Cl⁻)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – Chemical properties of the cement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>% weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>25.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>7.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>53.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>6.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND – not detected

Descriptors in NBR 9917 [29]. The coal waste was used as a substitute for river sand aggregate, considering the following volumetric levels of substitution: 0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100%. For each level of substitution, the water/cement ratio was reestablished to provide the same consistency of concrete [30]. The concrete blocks were molded in manual press equipment with a production capacity of six blocks per cycle. The blocks were molded in the “unipaver” shape with the following dimensions: 22.5 cm length, 12.0 cm width, and 8 cm height (Figure 2). The technological characterization of the paving blocks included resistance to compression, abrasion resistance and water absorption. It was produced 90 blocks for compression resistance, 10 blocks for abrasion resistance, and 10 blocks for water absorption tests. The results of compression resistance are the average of six test results (n= 6 for each level of substitution and each curing period) and the curing periods were 7, 28, and 90 days. Abrasion resistance and water absorption were carried out in two concrete blocks (n=2) resulting from each level of substitution at a curing period of 28 days. The effect of the experimental parameters on these properties was determined.
evaluated statistically by using analysis of variance (ANOVA, significance level of 95%) and the Tukey test. Compression resistance and water absorption were carried out in accordance with the Brazilian Standard Procedures NBR 9780 [31] and NBR 9778 [32], respectively. Abrasion resistance was determined according to the CIENTEC method. The procedure consists of dividing a paving block specimen into two pieces with a diamond saw. Each piece is placed to a rotary machine and submitted to a constant pressure of 0.06 MPa in the presence of an abrasive powder (silicon carbide). The aim is to simulate a pathway of 500 m. The width of the paving block was measured before and after the experiment in five positions of each paving block piece. The wear rate result, expressed in millimeters, is the mean of 10 measurements.

The acid generation potential of the raw waste, coal waste fine aggregates, and blocks with 25% and 50% substitution of river sand by coal waste fine aggregate at 28 days was measured by the traditional method of accounting for acids and bases (ABA) [33]. The objective was to determine the balance between the minerals that produce acidity (acidity potential - AP) and the minerals that consume acidity (neutralization potential - NP). The determination of acidity potential (AP) was carried out from the analysis of total sulfur using a CHNS analyzer. AP was calculated by the following conversion factor:

$$\text{AP} = 31.25 \times \%\text{S}$$

(1)

To determine the neutralizing potential (NP), the procedure consisted of subjecting the sample to an acidic solution followed by titration of the acid solution with sodium hydroxide (with the same concentration as that of the acid) to pH 7.0. The net neutralization potential (NNP) was calculated from the difference between NP and AP:

$$\text{NNP} = \text{NP} - \text{AP}$$

(2)

A sample is classified as acid forming when it has NNP values less than -20 CaCO$_3$/t and non-acid forming when it has NNP values greater than +20 CaCO$_3$/t. Samples are classified as uncertain when their values range from -20 to +20 CaCO$_3$/t.

A kinetic test in humidity cells following the ASTM D 5744-96 [34] method was carried out to evaluate the chemical stability of the samples. The test consisted of placing 500 g of the material, with particle size between 6.3 mm and 2.0 mm, in a column with an internal diameter of 5 cm and a height of 30 cm. The test was carried out with the raw waste, coal waste fine aggregate, reference block, and block with 50% substitution of river sand by coal waste fine aggregate. During the seven-day cycle, dry air was passed through the column for the first three days and humidified air for the next three days. On the seventh day, the sample was rinsed with 500 mL of distilled water. The leachate was collected and analyzed for the AMD typical parameters: pH, Eh, acidity, alkalinity, concentration of metals (Fe, Al, Mn, Zn, and Ca), and concentration of sulfate. The procedures followed the Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater [35] and the results were expressed in terms of average values of twenty weeks ($n=20$).

3. Results and discussion

Figure 3 presents particle size distribution of the coal waste fine aggregate as well as the river sand. Both materials have their size distribution from 0.15 to 4.0 mm. However, the $D_{50}$ (grain diameter at which 50% of the mass sample is retained or passed by the sieve) of the coal waste fine aggregate was 0.4 mm and the $D_{50}$ of the river sand was 1.0 mm. So, compared to the river sand used in this work the coal waste fine aggregate has a higher amount of finer particles. It can be also observed that both materials, separately, fit in the applicable zone, but not completely in optimal zone. However, the mixture composed by 50% coal waste and 50% river sand allows a particle size distribution entirely inside the optimal zone as prescribed by NBR 7211 [28].

The x-ray pattern of coal waste and the river sand applied in this work are depicted in Figure 4. The mineral fine aggregates produced from the coal waste have quartz as their major crystalline...
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phase. The presence of kaolinite, illite, and gypsum was also detected as well as amorphous components. River sand aggregate is basically composed of quartz. The particles of coal waste are angular in shape, due to the rock fragmentation procedure, and grayish in color. In contrast, river sand particles are rounded/sub-rounded and yellowish in color (Figure 5).

The concentration of sulfate ions in the fine coal aggregate was determined to be 1.00%, whereas in the river sand it were not detected (<0.01%). Chloride ions were not detected in fine coal aggregate and river sand. Sulfate is considered to be harmful for concretes, and it is recommended that the values of sulfates and sulfides in aggregates for concrete production should not exceed the value of 1% (mass) [36,37]. The concentration of sulfates was sufficiently low to be used for paving blocks production. However, the concentration of total sulfur was determined as 1.9%. Thus, for safety reasons, the fine aggregate produced from coal tailings should be applied in levels of river sand substitution of no more than 25% or 50%.

The preparation of concrete with coal waste in all levels of substitution was simple. Coal waste particles mixed properly with river sand and allowed a mixture without sights of bleeding and segregation. However, increasing the amount of coal waste particles in the fine aggregate, more water was necessary to maintain the same consistency of fresh concrete. It was expected because coal waste particles are more angular in shape and smaller in size. Table 3 shows the main technological properties of concrete blocks for paving, while considering the different levels of substitution. It is possible to observe that, increasing the level of substitution, there is an increase in the water/cement ratio and a decrease in the cement consumption. It can be observed that concrete blocks produced with substitution levels of 25% and 50%, at 28 days, statistically present behavior similar to the reference blocks (0% substitution) in terms of compressive resistance, abrasion resistance, and water absorption.

In terms of compression resistance, most of the blocks manufactured with 0%, 25% and 50% substitution attained the minimum value of 35 MPa established by NBR 9781 [20] for commercial standard vehicles. The blocks produced with substitution levels of 75% and 100% did not reach the required compression resistance. The loss in compression resistance in paving blocks with increasing levels of substitution of river sand by alternative aggregates has been observed in other situations, including crushed clay brick [11], ceramic tile waste [13], waste marble [14], and demolition materials [12]. It was also observed a small decrease, but statistically significant, in compression resistance from 28 to 90 days in the paving blocks with any amount of coal waste.

Increasing the level of substitution the abrasion resistance decreases. However, according to statistical analysis, the results were the same for the levels of substitution of 0%, 25%, 50%, and 75%. Blocks with 100% substitution of river sand presented significant difference compared to the others and, therefore, unsatisfactory results. Waste utilization in concrete production can be beneficial or harmful to abrasion resistance. The use of Class F fly ash [38], waste foundry sand [39], ceramic sanitary ware waste...
[40] and shredded PET bottle waste [41] increased abrasion resistance while fly ash [42,43,44] and bottom ash [45] decreased abrasion resistance.

In terms of water absorption, it was observed an increase with the substitution of river sand by coal waste. However, the increase of water absorption for blocks with 25% to 50% coal waste is very low. The values of water absorption in reference blocks and with levels of substitution of 0%, 25% and 50% are statistically the same while blocks with levels of substitution of 75% and 100% are statistically different. The same behavior was observed when using bottom ash [45] and recycled fine aggregates from construction and demolition waste [46]. However,
the opposite effect was observed in marble aggregates [47] and slag aggregate [48].

With respect to acid generation (Table 4), the material collected from the coal waste deposit presents a high sulfur content of 7.0%. The result is an AP of 218.8 kg CaCO₃/t, a NP of 0.0 kg CaCO₃/t, and a NNP of -218.8 kg CaCO₃/t. The fraction used for fine aggregate production, with a density between 2.4 and 2.8, exhibited a reduced acid generation potential, with a sulfur content of 1.9%, an AP of 60.8 kg CaCO₃/t, a NP of 0.0 kg CaCO₃/t, and a NNP of -60.8 CaCO₃/t. The paving blocks produced with 25% and 50% substitution of river sand by coal waste fine aggregate presented a NNP that was positive and higher than 400 kg CaCO₃/t. These results showed that the manufacture of paving blocks provided an alkaline environment and prevented acid generation.

A twenty weeks period test in humidity cells was carried out to confirm this. It can be observed in Figure 6 that the raw waste and the coal waste fine aggregate generate an acid leach. The pH ranged from 3.3 to 1.3 for the raw waste and from 4.0 to 2.6 for the coal waste fine aggregate. For the concrete blocks, in all situations, the water pH remained neutral or slightly alkaline, with the following pH ranges: 11.8 and 8.3 for the reference blocks and 10.3 and 7.0 for blocks with 50% substitution. These results confirm that the procedure of separation of the material rich in pyrite, followed by the encapsulation of the fine aggregate in a concrete matrix, is a

---

**Table 3 – Properties of the concrete blocks for paving**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Substitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water/cement ratio</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement consumption (kg/m³)</td>
<td>483.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elemental composition (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 days</td>
<td>28.1 ± 2.8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 days</td>
<td>39.5 ± 2.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 days</td>
<td>40.7 ± 0.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasion resistance (mm) 28 days</td>
<td>6.6 ± 0.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption (%) 28 days</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.0a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass of fine aggregate of coal waste</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average ± standard deviation.

Values with the same superscript letters compared horizontally do not differ significantly from each other.
successful procedure to avoid acid generation. The encapsulation of some remaining pyrite grains into the paving blocks avoids their contact with atmospheric oxygen and water, inhibiting pyrite oxidation reactions. Table 5 presents the average values of chemical parameters analyzed on the leachate considering raw coal waste particles, coal waste fine aggregate, paving blocks with 50% substitution, and reference paving blocks (100% river sand). The leachate of raw coal waste has a low pH and a very high concentration of metals and sulfates. This is what happens in sulfide mining sites and it is typically named “acid rock drainage”. The procedure applied to prepare the coal waste fine aggregate by density separation, allowed to reduce in 73% the concentration of pyritic sulfur. So, in this case, the leachates were less intense in terms of medium acidification and the release of metals and sulfate. Incorporating the coal waste in concrete in a proportion of 50%, acidification was avoided as well the metal leaching. Comparing the leached water of the concrete produced with 100% river sand with the concrete produced with coal waste in a level of 50% substitution, the results were quite similar. However, in the latter was observed a higher amount of calcium and sulfates. Calcium and sulfate leaching can generate empty spaces in concrete structure, and therefore affect adversely compression resistance in long term. Analyzing the results, coal waste brings benefits to adjust the particles size of the fine aggregates and the presence of quartz as the major crystalline phase reinforces coal waste as an inert material with weak potential for hydraulic activity. However, the presence of the amorphous phase as well as the minerals kaolinite, illite, gypsum, and pyrite (even at low concentrations) could affect the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Raw coal waste</th>
<th>Coal waste fine aggregate</th>
<th>Concrete paving blocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0% substitution</td>
<td>25% substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total S (%)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (kg CaCO₃/t)</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP (kg CaCO₃/t)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>241.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNP</td>
<td>-218.8</td>
<td>-60.8</td>
<td>225.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation of AMD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 – pH values of the leachate from the humidity cells containing raw waste, coal waste fine aggregate, and concrete paving blocks (containing 0% and 50% of coal waste aggregate replacing natural sand)
long-term behavior of the paving blocks. The amorphous structure can lead to alkali-aggregate reactivity causing expansion of the aggregate in hardened concrete structure [30]. Illite can also cause expansion and cracking of concretes in such a manner that appeared analogous to alkali-silica reactivity. Expansion by illite was suggested to occur by swelling and followed by de-dolomitisation by the cement alkalis [49]. Gypsum presence in aggregates can produce false set in freshly mixed concrete and pyrite can oxidize and release sulfates. Sulfates can react after the concrete has hardened, causing expansion and cracking [30,50,51]. As mentioned before, the same water/cement ratio used in reference concrete could not be kept in concrete produced with coal waste fine aggregate. The presence of clays in a cement mixture reduces the amount of water available for the hydration reactions, decreases its workability, and alters the course of the pozzolanic reactions [52,53,54]. Problems with increased water demand with clay minerals including kaolinite and illite were observed in other works [55,56]. These comments are in agreement with other studies about coal waste (colliery spoils) as aggregates for concrete production. Those studies highlighted excessive wear, expansive behavior and pyrite oxidation as the main drawbacks for its use as aggregate in civil construction [7,8]. Kinnuthia et al. applied colliery spoil as fine and coarse aggregates in low and medium strength concretes [8].

Finally, with a level of substitution of 25% the demand of coal waste fine aggregate was estimated to be 12.1 kg/m² of paved area. Considering a run-of-mine coal production of 40,000 t, 60% of the material is discharged as waste, and 50% of this fraction is separated between the relative densities of 2.4 and 2.8, it is possible to produce about 12,000 t of fine aggregates, which is sufficient to attend a paved area of about 1 km².

### 4. Conclusion

The results showed that it was possible to process the coal waste from the carboniferous region of Santa Catarina and obtain a recycled fine aggregate that can be used in civil construction. The waste material should be submitted to a gravity separation process (with cut densities between 2.4 and 2.8 to remove the carbonaceous and pyritic fractions), which is followed by crushing in a roll mill. The material, composed mainly of siltstone and sandstone, presented a low concentration of sulfur, about 1% of sulfate, and a particle size distribution that met the specification for fine aggregates. Concrete blocks for paving produced with 25% and 50% of recycled coal waste, substituted for river sand, presented satisfactory results in terms of compression resistance. The use of coal waste as a fine aggregate for concrete block paving manufacture presents technical viability and environmental benefits. The demand for sand deposits can be minimized and a part of the coal tailings can be used, reducing the volume in coal waste deposits. We believe that this procedure can be applied to minimize the environmental problems posed by coal production in Brazil.
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