Minimum alveolar concentration of isoflurane in dogs administered two morphine doses
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Abstract: This study aimed to evaluate the effects of intramuscular 0.5mg kg⁻¹ (MOR₀.₅) and 1.0mg kg⁻¹ (MOR₁.₀) morphine premedication on the minimum alveolar concentration of isoflurane (ISO_MAC) in dogs. Eighteen client-owned female dogs were scheduled for elective ovariohysterectomy. Dogs received intramuscular MOR₀.₅ or MOR₁.₀ as premedication and propofol IV for induction of anesthesia. Isoflurane was delivered for maintenance of anesthesia and dogs were maintained under normocapnia and normothermia. Determinations of the ISO_MAC were conducted by use of the “up-and-down” method. Noxious stimulus (placement of Backhaus towel clamps, a midline skin incision and subcutaneous tissue dissection) was delivered approximately 50 minutes after premedication with MOR₀.₅ or MOR₁.₀. The calculated ISO_MAC was 0.98±0.15% in MOR₀.₅ and 0.80±0.08% in MOR₁.₀. The ISO_MAC was significantly lower in MOR₁.₀ compared with MOR₀.₅ (P=0.010). Results of this study suggested that intramuscular premedication with morphine 0.5 and 1.0mg kg⁻¹ decreases the ISO_MAC in a dose-related manner in dogs.
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Eighteen healthy female dogs scheduled for elective ovariohysterectomy (OH) were enrolled in the study. Any dog having clinical signs of systemic disease, abnormal laboratory data, or aged <6 months or >8 years was excluded from the study. The dogs were randomly administered IM 0.5mg kg⁻¹ or 1.0mg kg⁻¹ morphine (Dimorf, Cristália) as premedication in the MOR₀.₅ group and MOR₁.₀ group, respectively.

Approximately 20 minutes after premedication, anesthesia was induced by administering propofol intravenously to allow endotracheal intubation. Dogs were positioned in dorsal recumbency on an electrical heating pad to maintain esophageal temperature between 37°C and 38°C. Anesthesia was maintained with isoflurane (Isoforine, Cristália) in oxygen through a circle rebreathing system. Airway gases were sampled from between the endotracheal tube and the breathing system into an infrared gas analyzer (ILCA Sensor Module; Dräger) to monitor the end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂) and isoflurane (ETiso) concentrations. A standard calibration gas mixture (Agent/End-Tidal CO₂ Calibration Gas, Smiths Medical) was used to verify the calibration of the gas analyzer. Dogs were mechanically ventilated to maintain the ETCO₂ between 30 and 35mmHg. Intraoperative monitoring included heart rate (HR), indirect (oscillometric) systolic (SAP), mean (MAP) and diastolic (DAP) arterial blood pressures, ETCO₂ and esophageal temperature (Lifewindow 6000Vet, Digicare). The above-mentioned variables were registered immediately before the noxious stimulus was delivered.

The noxious stimulus used for ISO_MAC determination was delivered approximately 50 minutes after premedication with MOR₀.₅ or MOR₁.₀. The ISO_MAC was determined by the up-and-down method as reported in previous studies in dogs (AGUADO et al., 2011; MONTEIRO et al., 2016). The ETiso for the first dog in each group was set at 0.8%. On all occasions, an equilibration period of at least 15 minutes was allowed at the ETiso to be tested. Thereafter, the noxious stimulus was delivered: placement of four Backhaus towel clamps, a midline skin incision and subcutaneous tissue dissection. A single surgeon performed the noxious stimuli on all occasions. The response to noxious stimulus was classified as positive or negative if the dog did or did not move the head, trunk, or limbs within one minute after the stimulus. When a positive or negative response was observed, the ETiso to be tested in the subsequent dog from the same group was increased or decreased by 0.1%, respectively. Observation of opposite responses in two consecutive dogs (positive followed by negative or vice-versa) was defined as a crossover. The ETiso concentrations tested in four independent crossovers were used to calculate the ISO_MAC value in each group by mathematical averaging. After evaluation of the response to the noxious stimulus, all dogs were administered 0.6mg kg⁻¹ S(+-)-ketamine and 0.2mg kg⁻¹ meloxicam intravenously and the ETiso was increased to maintain surgical depth of anesthesia to perform the OH.

Data distribution was analyzed using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test. Differences between the groups in ISO_MAC, HR, SAP, MAP and DAP were compared by unpaired t test. A Mann Whitney test was used to compare propofol dose and age of dogs between groups. For all analyzes, a P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Eighteen dogs completed the study (ten dogs in MOR₀.₅ and eight dogs in MOR₁.₀). There were no significant differences between the groups for age, weight and propofol induction dose; median (interquartile range) for all 18 dogs were 12 months (12-42 months), 11.4kg (8.4-14.1kg) and 6.1mg kg⁻¹ (5.1-7.0mg kg⁻¹), respectively. There were no significant differences between the groups for temperature and ETCO₂. Mean±SD temperature and ETCO₂ for all 18 dogs were 37.1±0.3°C and 32±2mmHg. Values for MAP and DAP were significantly lower in MOR₀.₅ than in MOR₁.₀: 50±6mmHg versus 63±10mmHg (P=0.008); 31±7mmHg versus 40±7mmHg (P=0.020), respectively. There was a trend for lower values of HR and SAP in MOR₁.₀ than in MOR₀.₅; 59±10beats min⁻¹ versus 74±18beats min⁻¹ (P=0.056); 79±8mmHg versus 93±16mmHg (P=0.051), respectively. The mean±SD calculated ISO_MAC was 0.98±0.15% in MOR₀.₅ and 0.80±0.08% in MOR₁.₀ (Figure 1). The ISO_MAC was 18% lower in MOR₁.₀ compared with MOR₀.₅ (P=0.010).

In a previous study, the ISO_MAC determined in dogs was 1.20% (MONTEIRO et al., 2016). This previous study was performed in the same laboratory and using the same methodology of the present study. Because a control ISO_MAC had already been determined in this previous study (MONTEIRO et al., 2016), we decided not to include a control group in the present study. In the study reported here, the estimated ISO_MAC in the MOR₀.₅ and MOR₁.₀ groups is respectively 18% and 33% lower than the 1.20% value reported in the
Figure 1 - Response (positive or negative) for each of the 18 anesthetized dogs at the respective end-tidal isoflurane concentration tested (ETiso). Before anesthetic induction with propofol, the dogs were administered 0.5mg kg$^{-1}$ morphine (MOR$_{0.5}$ group, $n=10$) or 1.0mg kg$^{-1}$ morphine (MOR$_{1.0}$ group, $n=8$). (+) indicates a positive response; (-) indicates a negative response; shaded squares indicate the crossovers. The horizontal lines indicate the calculated mean ISO$_{MAC}$ value.
control group by MONTEIRO et al. (2016). The findings of the present study are in agreement with a previous study that demonstrated a dose dependent reduction on enflurane MAC by morphine in dogs (MURPHY & HUG JR, 1982).

In the present study, the noxious stimulus used for determination of the ISO MAC may not be considered a supramaximal stimulus (VALVERDE et al., 2003). Nevertheless, the reduction in the ISO MAC reported in a previous study (KO et al., 2009), which employed a supramaximal noxious stimulus for MAC determination in dogs administered 1.0mg kg⁻¹ morphine IV, was nearly the same compared to the MOR₂₀ group (34% versus 33%). These findings indicated that the up-and-down method employing dogs from clinical practice can be a good alternative for determination of the MAC sparing effect of sedative or analgesic drugs. However, other studies need to be performed to support this statement.

Pure µ-opioid agonists induce vagal stimulation on medullary centers and decrease in HR (LAUBIE et al., 1979). This effect was reported to be dose related such that progressively increasing doses of alfentanil decreased HR in a dose dependent manner in dogs (ARNDT et al., 1986). The finding that HR was lower in the MOR₁₀ group than in the MOR₅ group suggests that the higher dose of morphine induced a more pronounced vagal stimulation than the lower dose of the opioid in this study.

Based on mean values for each group, hypotension (MAP<60mmHg) was observed in MOR₁₀ but not in MOR₅. This was an unexpected finding as isoflurane is known to induce dose related cardiovascular depression in dogs (PAGEL et al., 1991). Therefore, a higher MAP would be expected in MOR₅ than in MOR₁₀ because the ISO MAC was 18% lower in this group. The event of mild hypotension (MAP=50±6mmHg) in MOR₁₀ might be considered a limitation of this study because hypotension decreased halothane MAC in dogs (TADIKONDA et al., 1981). In this previous study, the decrease in MAC was observed after a reduction in MAP from approximately 100mmHg to 60mmHg and the MAC was not evaluated in intermediate levels of MAP (TADIKONDA et al., 1981). In addition, the results from this previous study using halothane can not be extrapolated to isoflurane anesthesia. Further studies are necessary to evaluate the influence of different levels of MAP on the ISO MAC. In conclusion, results of this study suggest that IM premedication with morphine 0.5 and 1.0mg kg⁻¹ decreases the ISO MAC in a dose-related manner in dogs.