Dear Editor,

I have read the recent publication in the journal with a great interest. Cagol et al. concluded that "there was no difference in the outcome in oral vs. intravenous therapy." There are some concerns and questions on this report. First, whether the number of subjects is statistically acceptable and whether there is any background differences among the subjects in both groups still require clarification. Second, it should be clarified that "no difference in the outcome" is related to the efficacy of the therapeutic alternative. However, there are no data on cost-effectiveness and cost-utility. Further studies on these aspects are needed.
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