Effect of laser phototherapy in the prevention and treatment of chemo-induced mucositis in hamsters

Abstract: The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of laser phototherapy (LPT) in the prevention and/or treatment of oral mucositis induced by 5-fluorouracil (5-FU; Eurofarma, São Paulo, Brazil) in hamsters. Ninety-six hamsters were divided into four groups (n = 24): Control (no treatment); Preventive [LPT from day (D) D−5 to D+5]; Therapeutic (LPT from D+5 to D+15); and Combined (preventive plus therapeutic LPT from D−5 to D+15). The animals received an intraperitoneal injection of 5-FU on Days 0 and 2. The pouch mucosa was scratched on Days 3 and 4. The irradiation parameters were: indium-gallium-aluminum-phosphide (InGaAlP) diode laser (MM Optics, São Carlos, Brazil) (660 nm), beam area of 0.036 cm², 40 mW, 1.11 W/cm², 6.6 J/cm², power density applied daily of 39.6 J/cm², in punctual mode (six points and six seconds per point) and contact mode, one application per day. The animals were sacrificed on Days 0, 5, 10 and 15 (n = 6) and weighed, and the pouch mucosa was removed for histopathological analysis. Clinical and corresponding histological scores were compared using ANOVA and Tukey’s test (p ≤ 0.05). Similar weight losses ranging from 5% to 10% occurred in all groups. The therapeutic group had significantly lower clinical and histological scores than the other groups at Day 10. This study showed that positive effects on oral mucositis management were obtained only when LPT was applied in the therapeutic protocol (from D+5 to D+15 after chemotherapy).
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Introduction

Oral mucositis is a complication resulting from radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy for cancer treatment. Clinically, mucositis is graded in stages ranging from minimal symptoms of erythematous lesions to severe ulceration and pain, which may require the cancer treatment to be discontinued, thereby leading to a reduction in quality of life and/or patient survival. Moreover, severe mucositis may lead to prolonged hospital stays and requires special care, such as the intravenous infusion of barbiturates or other drugs and parenteral nutrition, leading to a significant increase in hospital costs.

The treatment is generally palliative, aimed at curbing symptoms and controlling infection and bleeding. The most common types of treatment for oral mucositis are topical antimicrobial agents, cytokines to stimulate...
the medulla, vitamins, growth factors, corticoid and non-alcoholic mouth washes, supplementary amino acids, cryotherapy, and treatment with laser phototherapy (LPT).3-6

Studies on the effects of LPT, either alone or in combination with other therapies, conducted in patients with immunosuppression, have shown that LPT promotes a reduction in pain intensity and mucositis severity, acceleration of wound healing, analgesic effects and an increase in the salivary flow rate, with no side effects.3-4,7-8

Studies involving animal models allow a better understanding of the pathobiology of mucositis and a more in-depth evaluation of the effects of therapies for the prevention and treatment of this disease. The model for induced mucositis in hamsters was initially proposed by Sonis et al.9 and has proven useful in preclinical studies on new treatment options for mucositis.9-15 This model was applied in a few studies for analyzing the effects of LPT in the prevention13 or therapies13-15 of oral mucositis, with positive findings. Although these studies have obtained promising outcomes, the effects of combined protocols (e.g. preventive plus therapeutic) have not been studied thus far. Thus, the aim of the present study was to analyze the effects of three LPT protocols in preventing and treating oral mucositis induced by 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) in hamsters, including a combined protocol.

**Methodology**

The methodology employed in the present study received the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Universidade Nove de Julho (São Paulo, Brazil) under protocol #AN005/2009. Ninety-six male golden Syrian hamsters with a mean body mass of 150 g and an age of 8 weeks were used. They were fed a standard laboratory diet and water ad libitum.

**Experimental groups**

The hamsters were randomly divided into four groups:

- **G1** - Control (no treatment);
- **G2** - Preventive [submitted to LPT from D−5 to D+5 (5 days before to 5 days after 5-FU infusion, 5-fluorouracil, Eurofarma, São Paulo, Brazil)];
- **G3** - Therapeutic [submitted to LPT from D+5 to D+15 (5 to 15 days after 5-FU infusion)];
- **G4** - Combined [subjected to LPT from D−5 to D+15 (preventive + therapeutic)].

**Mucositis induction protocol**

Before induction of mucositis, the animals were sedated with isoflurane gas. For the induction of mucositis, 60 mg/kg of the chemotherapy drug 5-FU was administered to each animal intraperitoneally on Day 0, and 40 mg/kg was administered on Day 2 (protocol proposed by Sonis et al.9 and modified by Leitão et al.12). The right pouch mucosa was scratched by the same operator on Days 3 and 4 using the tip of a sterile needle twice in a linear movement across the everted cheek pouch. Based on this protocol, oral mucositis establishment occurs one day after the second scratch session (i.e. D+5).9,12

**Laser phototherapy protocol**

The animals receiving laser therapy were sedated with isoflurane gas. LPT was performed with a continuous indium-gallium-aluminum-phosphide (InGaAlP) diode laser (λ = 660 nm, and beam spot size of 0.036 cm²; MM Optics, São Carlos, Brazil). The irradiation was punctual in contact mode, perpendicular to the right pouch mucosa in six points per pouch using the following parameters: 40 mW, 1.11 W/cm², 6.6 J/cm²; power density of 39.6 J/cm² applied daily, 6 seconds per point and 0.24 J per point.

One LPT application was performed daily starting on different days according to the experimental groups. The range of LPT applications was based on the Sonis et al.9 protocol that describes the D+5 as the initial time of oral mucositis establishment (Figure 1).

**Observations and determinations**

The animals were weighed at the beginning and end of the experiment and monitored daily to determine morbidity and mortality. On Days 0, 5, 10 and 15, the pouch mucosa of six animals per group was everted and photographed for subsequent clinical analysis. The animals were sacrificed in a CO₂ chamber. The photographs of the right pouch muco-
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Figure 1 - Illustrative photographs showing the irradiation procedure: (A) six points where the pouch mucosa was irradiated; (B) positioning of laser equipment tip.

Figure 2 - Clinical aspects relevant to the different clinical scores from Score 0 to Score 3: Score 0 - No alterations are observed; Score 1 - Moderate erythema and hyperemia; Score 2 - Severe erythema and hyperemia, with hemorrhagic areas, and small ulcers; Score 3 - The pouch mucosa exhibits extensive ulceration and abscesses.

sa taken at the time of sacrifice were inserted in the PowerPoint program (Microsoft, Redmond, USA) for further classification using the scoring system proposed by Lima et al.\textsuperscript{11} as follows:

- Score 0 - normal pouch mucosa with no or mild erythema and hyperemia, no areas of bleeding, ulcerations or abscesses;
- Score 1 - moderate erythema and hyperemia, no hemorrhagic areas, ulcerations or abscesses;
- Score 2 - severe erythema and hyperemia, with hemorrhagic areas, small ulcers or blisters, but no abscesses;
- Score 3 - severe erythema and hyperemia, presence of hemorrhagic areas, extensive ulcerations and abscesses (Figure 2).

The rest of the animals were then sacrificed and the pouch mucosa was removed and fixed in 10% formalin for further histopathological analysis.

The fixed specimens were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin. Serial sections 5 μm thick were obtained and stained with hematoxylin and eosin.
Each histological slide was classified using the scoring system proposed by Lima et al.11 as follows:

• Score 0 - normal epithelium and connective tissue without vasodilatation; absent or mild inflammatory infiltrate; absence of bleeding, ulcers and abscesses;

• Score 1 - mild vascular hyperemia; areas of reepithelialization; mild inflammatory infiltrate with a prevalence of mononuclear cells; no hemorrhagic areas, ulcerations or abscesses;

• Score 2 - moderate vascular redness; areas of epithelial degeneration; inflammatory infiltration with prevalence of neutrophils; hemorrhagic areas, edema and occasional ulcerations; absence of abscesses;

• Score 3 - severe hyperemia and vascular vasodilatation; inflammatory infiltration with prevalence of neutrophils; hemorrhagic areas, edema, extensive ulcerations and abscesses.

The ANOVA complemented by Tukey’s test was used for multiple comparisons. The clinical and histological scores obtained by a blinded evaluator were adjusted in a completely randomized model for comparisons between times in relation to the set of groups and between groups in relation to the set of evaluation times. A factorial design model was adjusted for comparisons between groups and treatments with the set of times. The significance level was set at 5%. All tests were performed using the SAS program for Windows, v.9.2 (SAS, Cary, USA).

**Results**

**Weight analysis**

Weight losses ranging from 5% to 10% occurred throughout the experiment. Weight losses were similar in the comparisons within the same experimental group at different evaluation times, except for G3 (Table 1). There were no significant differences in weight losses between the different experimental groups at the same evaluation times.

**Clinical analysis**

In Table 2, the comparisons between times within the same experimental groups show that the highest
clinical scores occurred at 10 days after 5-FU infusion, except in G3, in which the mean score remained at the baseline values throughout the experiment. In G1, mucositis followed a pattern that consisted of a significant increase in the score until Day 10, returning to the baseline value at the end of the experiment (Day 15). In G2, a significant increase in the score occurred on Day 5. In G3, the scores remained similar throughout the experimental period. In G4, there was a significant increase in the score through to Day 10, returning to the baseline values by Day 15.

In the comparisons between groups within the same experimental times, there were no differences at the beginning (Day 0) and at the end (Day 15) of the experiment. G2 presented higher scores than the other groups on Day 5, and G3 showed lower scores than the other groups on Day 10. On Day 15, the mean score observed in G3 seemed higher than that of G1; however, statistical differences were not observed.

**Histological analysis**

In Table 3, the comparisons between times within the same group show that the highest scores occurred on Day 10 following 5-FU infusion, except in G3, in which the scores remained at the baseline values through to Day 10, with a significant increase on Day 15.

In the comparisons between groups within the same experimental times, there were no differences at the beginning and end of the experiment. G2 presented higher scores than all the other groups on Day 5. G3 presented the smallest scores on Day 10. On Day 15, the mean scores observed in this group were not statistically different, although they seemed higher than those of G1.

At the beginning and end of the experiment, no significant differences in histological scores were found among the groups.

**Comparison of clinical and histological scores**

Table 4 displays no significant differences among the groups, except for G4 on Day 5, in which the histopathological analysis resulted in significantly higher scores than those of the clinical analysis.

**Discussion**

LPT using the visible red spectrum has been found to reduce the severity of oral mucositis lesions as well as pain scores. For this reason, a laser emitting in the visible red (660 nm) range was used in the present study, with three different protocols to test possible preventive or therapeutic effects. This study investigated clinical features, including the animals’ weight, performed a clinical analysis of the pouch mucosa in which mucositis was induced by 5-FU followed by local trauma, and also evaluated the histological aspects of the pouch mucosa in four experimental periods.

Weight loss can be an indicator of discomfort and pain while eating. Thus, the weight of the animals was determined at baseline and at time of sacrifice. Greater weight losses were expected in the Control group, which received no treatment. Surprisingly, the weight losses ranged from 5% to 10% regardless of the experimental group. This may have occurred because the animals in the Control group had been spared from daily handling of their pouch.
mucosa, whereas the other animals, especially those receiving the preventive and combined treatments, were handled daily, probably provoking greater stress and discomfort.

The findings of the present study also demonstrate the positive effect of LPT in reducing the severity of mucositis when the therapeutic protocol was used. On Day 10, following 5-FU infusion, when an increase in the clinical and histological scores was expected, this group kept its initial scores, which were significantly lower than those of all the other groups. In other studies, this result was observed only on Day 15, when, in fact, it was already expected, because by this time, i.e., after the conclusion of chemotherapy, the oral mucositis would be self-resolved.\(^{1,3}\) Thus, our study revealed something new, i.e., it showed that the therapeutic protocol of LPT presented a positive effect exactly when the clinical signs of oral mucositis are more critical.

It is difficult to understand why the therapeutic protocol group presented a positive response to the LPT, whereas the preventive protocol group and even the combined protocol group had no effect at all. It is known that LPT has effects only on stressed or diseased tissues. This therapy is able to restore the regular metabolic potential of stressed cells.\(^{16,17}\)

With this in mind, it could be posited that the initial irradiation on an already stressed tissue—as occurred in the therapeutic protocol group—may have led to a prompt response to the LPT, whereas the tissue that started to be irradiated when it was in a regular metabolic condition could have been inhibited using a relatively high dose of daily irradiation. Concurring with this hypothesis, França et al.\(^{13}\) have observed positive results in preventive protocols by using LPT in smaller dose. On the other hand, the absence of positive results of LPT, when applied in the preventive and combined protocols, may be explained by the fact that the animals of this group were handled more often than those of the therapeutic protocol group. This probably excessive manipulation could have caused greater stress, which may also explain the weight losses observed in the animals of these groups. The manipulation of these animals started five days before the infusion, with the hypothesis that this early LPT application could have a protective effect on the mucosa. It is possible that different results could have been obtained for the present study if the preventive and the combined protocols had started on the infusion day, as previously described by França et al.\(^{13}\)

Further in vivo studies involving animal models should be conducted to determine the beneficial effect of LPT in controlling oral mucositis, and also to

---

**Table 4 - Comparisons of the clinical and histopathological scores.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Time (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Control (G1)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>Control (G1)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P values</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Preventive (G2)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>Preventive (G2)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P values</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Therapeutic (G3)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>Therapeutic (G3)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P values</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>Combined (G4)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological</td>
<td>Combined (G4)</td>
<td>0.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P values</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters indicate statistical differences between clinical and histopathological scores within the same group at the same evaluation time.
obtain a better understanding of this process. This could lead to clinical protocols for the prevention of mucositis, which is the main goal of health professionals who deal with this common complication of cancer treatment that may often be decisive in regard to patient survival.

**Conclusion**

This study showed that the positive effects of oral mucositis management were obtained only when the LPT was applied in the therapeutic protocol (from D+5 to D+15 after chemotherapy).
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